Environmental Microbiology (2022) 00(00), 00-00



doi:10.1111/1462-2920.16045

Minireview

Recent insights into oceanic dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis and catabolism

Deepak Kumar Shaw , Jegan Sekar and Prabavathy Vaiyapuri Ramalingam *

Microbiology Lab, Department of Biotechnology, M. S. Swaminathan Research Foundation, Taramani, Chennai, 600113, Tamil Nadu, India.

Summary

Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), globally а important organosulfur compound is produced in prodigious amounts (2.0 Pg sulfur) annually in the marine environment by phytoplankton, macroalgae, heterotrophic bacteria, some corals and certain higher plants. It is an important marine osmolyte and a major precursor molecule for the production of climate-active volatile gas dimethyl sulfide (DMS). DMSP synthesis take place via three pathways: a transamination 'pathway-' in some marine bacteria and algae, a Met-methylation 'pathway-' in angiosperms and bacteria and a decarboxylation 'pathway-' in the dinoflagellate, Crypthecodinium. The enzymes DSYB and TpMMT are involved in the DMSP biosynthesis in eukaryotes while marine heterotrophic bacteria engage key enzymes such as DsyB and MmtN. Several marine bacterial communities import DMSP and degrade it via cleavage or demethylation pathways or oxidation pathway, thereby generating DMS, methanethiol, and dimethylsulfoxonium propionate, respectively. DMSP is cleaved through diverse DMSP lyase enzymes in bacteria and via Alma1 enzyme in phytoplankton. The demethylation pathway involves four different enzymes, namely DmdA, DmdB, DmdC and DmdD/AcuH. However, enzymes involved in the oxidation pathway have not been yet identified. We reviewed the recent advances on the synthesis and catabolism of DMSP and enzymes that are involved in these processes.

Introduction

In the marine environment, the tertiary sulfonium compound dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is produced in enormous amounts (2.0 Pg sulfur) annually (Hatton et al., 2012; Galí et al., 2015; Ksionzek et al., 2016), by many microalgae such as coccolithophores, dinoflagellates and diatoms (Curson et al., 2018; Kageyama et al., 2018), macroalgae the red algae Polysiphonia (Reed, 1983), corals such as Acropora sp. (Raina et al., 2013), coastal angiosperms such as Spartina alterniflora (Kocsis et al., 1998) and Wollastonia biflora (Hanson et al., 1994), heterotrophic bacteria such as Labrenzia aggregata (Curson et al., 2017) and non-marine higher plants such as sugarcane (Paquet et al., 1994). It has been proposed that DMSP in the 'producer organism' can function as an osmolyte (Vairavamurthy et al., 1985; Kirst, 1990), predator deterrent (Wolfe and Steinke, 1996), protectant against hydrostatic pressure (Zheng et al., 2020), chemical signalling molecule (Seyedsayamdost et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2016), chemoattractant (Miller et al., 2004; DeBose et al., 2008; Seymour et al., 2010), antioxidant (Sunda et al., 2002; Lesser, 2006; Husband et al., 2012), cryoprotectant (Karsten et al., 1996) and/or sink for excess sulfur and carbon (Stefels, 2000) and a precursor of malleicyprols (Trottmann et al., 2020). The particulate DMSP levels can range from nanomolar to micromolar concentrations in surface water during phytoplankton blooms a (Yoch, 2002; Speeckaert et al., 2018). It has been reported that massive bloom of the dinoflagellate Akashiwo sanguinea that occurred in Monterey Bay, CA, USA, in the fall of 2016 led to exceptionally high seawater DMSP concentrations that peaked at 4240 nM (Kiene et al., 2019). DMSP synthesis from methionine (Met) in various organisms has been shown to take place via three pathways: a transamination 'pathway-' in some marine bacteria and algae (Curson et al., 2017; Curson

Received 2 October, 2021; revised 7 May, 2022; accepted 9 May, 2022. *For correspondence. E-mail: prabavathyvr@mssrf.res.in; Tel. +91-44-22541229; Fax +91- 44-22541319.

^{© 2022} Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

et al., 2018), a Met methylation 'pathway-' in angiosperms and bacteria (Otte et al., 2004; Lyon et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2019) and a decarboxylation 'pathwav-' in the dinoflagellate, Crypthecodinium (Uchida et al., 1996). The genes involved in DMSP biosynthesis such as dsyB in bacteria (Curson et al., 2017), DSYB in algae (Curson et al., 2018) and TpMMT in the diatom Thalassiosira pseudonana (Kageyama et al., 2018) encode the key methylthiohydroxybutyrate S-methyltransferase enzyme of the Met transamination pathway, while mmtN in bacteria encodes the key Met methyltransferase of the Met methylation pathway (Williams et al., 2019). So far, there is no report on enzymes involved in the Met decarboxylation pathway. Recent identification of DMSP biosynthesis gene in bacteria and algae will greatly enhance our understanding to model and monitor DMSP production. The percentage of DMSP-producing bacteria estimated from metagenomes derived from marine sediments is approximately 1.1%, which, when applied to the estimated 1.99 \times 10¹⁰ bacterial cells g⁻¹ of marine surface sediment. suggests an abundance of around 1×10^8 DMSP-producing bacteria q^{-1} of sediment (Williams et al., 2019). DMSP-producing bacteria represent 0.3%–0.6% of a reported 1 \times 10⁶ bacteria ml⁻¹ in seawater. Thus, bacteria are reported as key DMSP producers within these sediments (Williams et al., 2019). It has been observed that algal DSYB transcripts are approximately twofold more abundant than that of the bacterial dsvB gene in North Pacific Ocean metatranscriptome study, which supports algae as the major contributors to DMSP production in photic seawater (Curson et al., 2018). DMSP once released into the environment through grazing and/or virus-induced lysis provides nutrients for marine microorganisms in the form of carbon, sulfur and/or energy (Curson et al., 2011a). DMSP produced by phytoplankton may account for 10% of the total carbon fixation in parts of the ocean (Archer et al., 2001; Simó et al., 2002) and it can account for up to 71% of the sulfur and 15% of the cells carbon demand in DMSP-producing phytoplankton (Matrai and Keller, 1994; Simó et al., 2002). DMSP supports 1%-13% of the carbon demand for the bacteria in surface seawater (Kiene and Linn, 2000) and is a key source of reduced sulfur for the growth of heterotrophic bacteria such as SAR11 (Tripp et al., 2008). Marine heterotrophic bacteria, notably Roseobacter (Roseobacteraceae) and SAR11, are the major contributors to global DMSP catabolism (Curson et al., 2011a; Sun et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2021), in addition to many marine phytoplankton (Stefels, 2000; Alcolombri et al., 2015) and some fungi (Todd et al., 2009; Kirkwood et al., 2010b). A broad range of microorganisms transport DMSP from seawater (Malmstrom et al., 2004; Vila et al., 2004; Howard et al.,

2008: Raina et al., 2017) and catabolize it through three pathways: demethylation, cleavage and recently reported oxidation pathway (Curson et al., 2011a; Moran et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2016; Thume et al., 2018). During demethylation, a series of 'Dmd' enzymes generate acetaldehyde and methanethiol (MeSH) from DMSP and provide the microbial food web with organic carbon and reduced sulfur (Reisch et al., 2011b; Moran et al., 2012). It is estimated that 80% of DMSP degrades through demethylation (Curson et al., 2011a), DMSP demethylation in bacteria involves four different enzymes, namely DmdA, DmdB, DmdC and DmdD/AcuH (Howard et al., 2006; Reisch et al., 2011b). It is reported that only a minor fraction of dissolved DMSP in seawater, ranging between 2% and 21%, is enzymatically cleaved to generate climate-active gas DMS (Kiene and Linn, 2000), which is a major natural source of volatile organic sulfur compound in the atmosphere (Charlson et al., 1987; Andreae, 1990; Simó, 2001; Stefels et al., 2007). DMSP cleavage includes eight different DMSP lyases, namely DddD, DddP, DddQ, DddK, DddL, DddX, DddY and DddW, in bacteria that work independently from each other and an Alma1 in phytoplankton (Alcolombri et al., 2015; Johnston et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). Approximately 300 teragrams (Tg) of DMS is produced each year mainly by the DMSP cleavage pathway through several DMSP lyases, of which 13-37 Tg is transferred into the atmosphere through oceanatmosphere sulfur flux (Curson et al., 2011a; Johnston et al., 2016; Ksionzek et al., 2016). DMS in the atmosphere is photochemically oxidized to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or sulfate aerosols, which act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), leading to increased albedo effect (the amount of sunlight reflected back into space) (Vallina and Simo, 2007; Curson et al., 2011a). It has also been theorized that their albedo effect is part of a feedback loop controlling local climate, termed the CLAW hypothesis after the authors who first postulated it (Charlson et al., 1987). This feedback loop was suggested because it had already been established that DMS is one of the major sources of CCN, and therefore the formation of clouds could be regulated by controlling the release and oxidation of DMS (Charlson et al., 1987). Blooms of phytoplankton were found to produce higher amounts of DMS in warmer conditions (Charlson et al., 1987), likely because increased solar radiation leads to better growth (Schäfer et al., 2010). Higher DMS means increased CCN and therefore cloud formation, reflecting radiation away from the surface and cooling it, which then leads to a decrease in growth and production, causing the cloud cover to ease off and allow more radiation again, maintaining balance (Schäfer et al., 2010). This theory, while often referenced, has not been fully

validated, and even though there is evidence for levels of DMS being driven by light dosage (Vallina and Simó, 2007), it is now widely thought to be unlikely, or at the very least more complex than previously suggested (Quinn and Bates, 2011), namely due to the existence of other sources of CCN that are not DMS derived. In the oxidation pathway, DMSP is also oxidized to dimethylsulfoxonium propionate (DMSOP) in eukaryotic microalgae and in bacteria. Certain bacteria such as *Sulfitobacter sp.*, *Ruegeria pomeroyi*, *A. faecalis* and *Halomonas* sp. metabolize DMSOP and therefore contribute to the marine DMSO pool. However, enzymes involved in the oxidation pathway have not been yet identified (Thume *et al.*, 2018).

DMSP biosynthesis and catabolism in different oceanic regions

Studies on the bacterial DMSP-degrading genes such as dmdA and dddP have been carried out in varied marine environments (Cui et al., 2015; Kuek et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Nowinski et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2020; Teng et al., 2021) and reported that these genes are taxonomically diverse and widespread across almost all major oceans, from tropical waters to the polar sea (Peng et al., 2012; Cui et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2016; Teng et al., 2021). In comparison to DMSP catabolism, there were few molecular studies on environmental DMSP production and these showed bacterial DMSP production to be significant in surface coastal sediment, marine sediment and seawater, sea surface microlayer, estuary, and deep-ocean environments (Williams et al., 2019; Song et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). Several amplicon-, metagenomic- and metatranscriptomic-based studies on DMSP biosynthesis and catabolism have been summarized in Table 1.

Biosynthesis of DMSP

Here, DMSP production in algae, bacteria, plants and animals, including corals is reviewed (Reed, 1983; Keller *et al.*, 1989; Hanson *et al.*, 1994; Paquet *et al.*, 1994; Kocsis *et al.*, 1998; Otte *et al.*, 2004; Raina *et al.*, 2013; Ausma *et al.*, 2017; Curson *et al.*, 2017; Curson *et al.*, 2018; Kageyama *et al.*, 2018; Williams *et al.*, 2019).

DMSP synthesis in bacteria. A wide diversity of marine heterotrophic bacteria are reported to synthesize DMSP by the Met transamination pathway described previously in macroalgae and phytoplankton (Gage *et al.*, 1997; Curson *et al.*, 2017; Curson *et al.*, 2018) and Met methylation pathway (Liao and Seebeck, 2019) (Fig. 2). DsyB protein (encoded by *dsyB* gene), an important enzyme

for DMSP production, was first identified in marine Alphaproteobacteria - Labrenzia aggregata LZB033 reported from the East China Sea (Curson et al., 2017). The DsyB proteins are found to be functional in L. aggregata IAM12614, Amorphus coralli DSM19760, Pelagibaca bermudensis HTCC260 and Oceanicola batsensis HTCC2597. L. aggregata LZB033 is reported to produce 99.8 pmol DMSP μg^{-1} protein having an intracellular concentration of 9.6 mM (Table 2). In L. aggregata LZB033, DMSP production and dsyB transcription levels are enhanced with increasing salinity. decreasing nitrogen, decreasing temperature and also in stationary phase. However, there was no detrimental effect on the growth of Labrenzia dsvB⁻ mutant J571 under saline conditions. While Labrenzia dsyB- mutant showed enhanced levels of the nitrogenous osmolyte glycine betaine (GBT) production compared with the wild type, which compensates for the loss of DMSP (Curson et al., 2017). De novo DMSP synthesis does not appear to have a key role in osmoprotection. The phylogenetic relation among bacterial genera with DsyB proteins are illustrated in Fig. 2. Another key enzyme involved in DMSP biosynthesis was identified as MmtN (encoded by mmtN gene) in Novosphingobium BW1 and reported to Met methyltransferase activity confer (MMT) (EC2.1.1.12), converting Met to S-methyl-methionine (SMM). MmtN homologues with ≥54% amino acid identity were identified in many marine Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria and some Actinobacteria (Williams et al., 2019). The complete description of a DMSP biosynthetic pathway by in vitro reconstitution from Streptomyces mobaraensis had been recently reported (Fig. 1) and MmtN from S. mobaraensis showed MMT activity (Liao and Seebeck, 2019). MmtN proteins are shown to be functional in Thalassospira profundimaris WP0211, Novosphingobium sp. MBES04, S. mobaraensis NBRC13819, Nocardiopsis chromatogenes YIM90109 and Roseovarius indicus B018. The phylogenetic relation among bacteria with MmtN proteins are depicted (Fig. S1). Thalassospira profundimaris mmtN⁻ mutant showed no significant growth reduction compared with the wild-type strain under increased salinity and/or reduced nitrogen concentrations, known to enhance DMSP production. The T. profundimaris mmtN⁻ mutant produced high levels of GBT compared with the wild type. Bacteria harbouring functional MmtN proteins are not monophyletic, suggesting that mmtN may have been horizontally transferred between these microorganisms (Williams et al., 2019). Recently, DMSP biosynthesis in Burkholderia thailandensis has been reported (Trottmann et al., 2020). By analogy to one of the established bacterial DMSP biosynthesis pathways, methionine undergoes S-methylation to form Smethylmethionine, decarboxylation, transamination and

Table 1. Amplicon, metagenomic and metatranscriptomic based studies.

Regions	DMSP biosynthesis	DMSP cleavage	References	
Mariana Trench, Yarmouth estuary and Stiffkey saltmarshes	The metagenomic analysis predicted that approximately 1% of bacteria contain $dsyB$ in surface sediment samples obtained from Stiffkey saltmarshes. Bacteria containing <i>mmtN</i> were much less abundant than those with $dsyB$ in tested seawater. DMSP-producing bacteria were much less abundant in the Ocean Microbial Reference Gene Catalogue Metagenomic Database (OM- RGC; mostly surface seawater samples) and in tested coastal seawater samples. They represented 0.3%–0.6% of a reported 1 × 10 ⁶ bacteria ml ⁻¹ in seawater. $dsyB$ transcription was more than three orders of magnitude higher, per unit mass, in surface sediment than in surface seawater. $dsyB$ and <i>mmtN</i> transcripts were ubiquitous or mostly present, respectively, at varying levels in Tara Oceans bacterioplankton metatranscriptome databases. The plastid 16S rRNA gene sequences of DMSP-producing eukaryotes belonged to <i>Asterionellopsis</i> (6%), <i>Phaeodactylum</i> (0.4%), and <i>Thalassiosira</i> (0.3%). In Stiffkey metagenomes the eukaryotic DMSP synthesis gene <i>DSYB</i> was approximately 13-fold less abundant than $dsyB$. Taxonomic profiling of the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing from natural Stiffkey saltmarsh surface sediment revealed DMSP producing members at class level (<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> , <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> , <i>Deltaproteobacteria</i> , and <i>Actinobacteria</i>). Species- level phylogenetic analysis of the metagenomes from Stiffkey saltmarsh surface sediment indicated DMSP producing bacteria. The genera/species that contain <i>mmtN</i> (<i>Alteromonas</i> sp. N2, <i>Thalassospira</i> <i>profundimaris</i> , <i>Alteromonas</i> unclassified, <i>Thalassospira</i> unclassified, <i>Thalassospira</i> <i>profundimaris</i> , <i>Alteromonas</i> unclassified, <i>Thalassospira</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Oceanicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Oceanicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Oceanicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Osemicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Osemicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandrii</i> , <i>Osemicola</i> unclassified, <i>Labrenzia</i> <i>alexandr</i>	In surface saltmarsh sediments, DddD, DddL and DddP present in 1.1%, 4.8% and 6.6% of bacteria, respectively	Williams et al. (2019)	
Challenger Deep of the Mariana Trench	DMSP-producing bacteria (containing <i>dsyB</i> and/or <i>mmtN</i>) were far higher in deeper waters (≥4000 m; ~2.58%–5.25%) than in surface waters (~0.90%–1.18%). Bacteria with <i>mmtN</i> were always less abundant than those with <i>dsyB</i> in seawater metagenomes. The metagenomic <i>dsyB</i> sequences, including 37/162 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), were Alphaproteobacterial, mainly <i>Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales,</i> and <i>Rhodospirillales.</i> The majority of <i>mmtN</i> homologues were also <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> , belonging to bacterial genera known to produce DMSP: <i>Thalassospira, Roseovarius, Labrenzia,</i> and <i>Novosphingobium. dsyB</i> and <i>mmtN</i> transcript abundances were far higher in all sediments than in water samples. <i>DSYB</i> and <i>TpMMT</i> genes were not detected in any trench samples	DMSP demethylation (via dmdA) was likely the dominant process in the surface waters. dddP was the most abundant DMSP lyase gene in the surface waters (~6.48%). The dddK, dddW, and dddY genes were only predicted to be in 0%–0.26% of the seawater bacteria. dddP was found in 43% of MAGs (69), predicted to be Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Acidimicrobiia, Bacteroidia, SAR324, Nitrososphaeria, and Anaerolineae. Of 162 MAGs, 58 contained dmdA, likely from Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria,	Zheng <i>et al.</i> (2020)	

(Continues)

Table 1. Continued

Regions	DMSP biosynthesis	DMSP cleavage	References
		Acidimicrobiia, SAR324, and Nitrososphaeria. dmdA was still the dominant gene in aphotic 2000–8000 m deep waters, predicted to be present in 5.43%– 26.66% of bacteria, but its relative abundance decreased with depth. Interestingly, the relative abundance of bacteria with DMSP lyases significantly increased in these deeper	
		waters (2000–8000 m), with cumulatively more <i>ddd</i> genes observed in metagenomes from 4000 m to the trench bottom, compared to <i>dmdA</i> . DddP was still the predominant DMSP lyase in the 2000– 8000 m deep waters (averaging 4.84%), but	
		DddQ (up to 3.55%), DddL (up to 4.61%), and DddD (up to 1.61%) were better represented in these waters compared to the surface waters. Seawater DddQ sequences were most similar to those in the <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> ,	
		including <i>Ruegeria</i> , <i>Leisingera</i> , and <i>Roseovarius</i> . DddL sequences were homologous to <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> , represented by <i>Marinobacter</i> . In	
		comparison, the DddD homologues differed through the water column, with surface waters containing <i>Alphaproteobacterial</i> <i>Sagittula</i> homologues, and <i>Gammaproteobacterial</i>	
		Halomonas homologues being predominant in 8000 m samples. The algal DMSP lyase Alma1 was not present in any trench samples	
Eastern China marginal seas (including the Bohai Sea, the Yellow Sea, and the East China Sea) and hydrothermal field of Okinawa Trough	Bacterial genetic potential to make DMSP was far higher than for phytoplankton in all samples, but particularly in the sediment where no algal DMSP synthesis genes were identified. The dominant seawater <i>dsyB</i> genes in metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) were from <i>Alphaproteobacterial</i> <i>Roseospirillum</i> and <i>Thalassobaculum</i> bacteria. Different bacterial <i>dsyB</i> genes, clustering with those from <i>Pseudooceanicola</i> , <i>Roseovarius</i> , and <i>Roseospirillum</i> , dominated in the Bohai Sea and Yellow Sea sediments (BYSS) sediment. The	DMSP catabolic pathways mediated by the DMSP lyase DddP and DMSP demethylase DmdA enzymes and MddA- mediated MeSH S- methylation were very abundant in BYSS samples. However, the genetic potential for DMSP degradation was very low in	Song <i>et al.</i> (2020) and Sun <i>et al.</i> (2020)

(Continues)

Table 1. Continued

Regions	DMSP biosynthesis	DMSP cleavage	References
South China Sea (SCS)	potential DMSP-producing bacteria dominating the Okinawa Trough hydrothermal field sediment were also distinct with their <i>dsyB</i> genes predicted to be in <i>Caenispirillum, Albimonas</i> , and <i>Oceanicola</i> bacteria. The bacterial <i>mmtN</i> gene was absent in all of the metagenome sequences in the tested environment. The algal <i>DSYB</i> gene was present at similar levels (0.2%–0.6% of eukaryotes) in the surface and bottom seawater samples but was not found in the BYSS or hydrothermal sediment metagenomes. In the East China Sea, DMSP-producing bacteria were more abundant in sea surface microlayer (SML) than subsurface seawater (SSW) samples, confirmed by the higher <i>dsyB</i> (~7-fold) and <i>mmtN</i> (~4-fold) gene abundances in the SML samples. Furthermore, SML samples possessed ~3-fold higher <i>dsyB</i> transcripts than those from SSW. <i>mmtN</i> transcripts were also detected in the sample but these were more evenly distributed between SML and SSW samples. <i>Alteromonas, Ruegeria,</i> <i>Roseovarius, Hoeflea, Thalassospira, Labrenzia,</i> and <i>Novosphingobium</i> that can contain <i>dsyB</i> and/or <i>mmtN</i> genes were significantly more abundant in SML compared with SSW samples. Bacterial genera are known to produce/potential DMSP, e.g., <i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> and <i>Marinobacter</i> , were also more abundant in SML than in SSW and potentially contribute to the DMSP produced	the hydrothermal sediment samples – <i>dddP</i> was the only catabolic gene detected and in only one sample. The <i>dmdA</i> gene was mostly in SAR11, SAR116 (<i>Candidatus</i> <i>Puniceispirillum</i>), and <i>Rhodobacterales</i> bacteria in both seawater and sediment. The <i>ddd</i> genes were largely in SAR11 and <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> in seawater, whereas they were mainly in <i>Alphaproteobacterial</i> <i>Rhizobiales</i> and <i>Rhodobacterales</i> bacteria as well as <i>Gammaproteobacterial</i> <i>Pseudomonadales</i> bacteria in the sediment. Most DMSP catabolic genes, like <i>dmdA</i> , were not identified in the majority of hydrothermal samples. Only one <i>dddP</i> sequence was detected in an Iheya ridge sample. In the East China Sea, DMSP demethylase gene <i>dmdA</i> (sum of C/2 and D/1) especially its C/2 subclade was significantly more abundant in the SML (~ 1.5-fold and ~ 3.2-fold) compared with SSW samples. Consistently, their transcripts were also more abundant (~6.6-fold for <i>dmdA</i> and ~8.2-fold for <i>C/2</i>) in SML compared with SSW samples. In contrast, there were no significant differences in <i>dddP</i> abundance or its transcript levels between the SML and SSW samples	Zhang et al. (2021)
South China Sea (SCS)	dsyB was predicted to be in 0.0007%–0.0195% of sediment bacteria. The abundance of these bacteria increased when samples were incubated under conditions known to enrich for DMSP- producing bacteria. 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing revealed that the relative abundance of DMSP-producing bacteria was higher in the 30-, 50-, 90-, and 390-cm samples than those from surface sediment but was extremely low at the 690-cm samples. <i>Oceanospirillum, Thalassospira,</i> <i>Marinobacter,</i> and <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> species were dominant predicted DMSP-producing bacteria in the SCS subseafloor. The metagenomic data revealed that <i>dsyB</i> was the dominant DMSP synthesis gene in the enriched samples of surface sediment. In contrast, the metagenomics data suggested <i>mmtN</i> as the predominant DMSP biosynthesis gene in the enriched subseafloor	Bacterial DMSP catabolic genes were also most abundant in the SCS surface sediments with high DMSP concentrations	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2021)

(Continues)

Table 1. Continued

Regions	DMSP biosynthesis	DMSP cleavage	References
	sediment samples (50, 90, and 390 cm) than in the surface samples. The metagenomic MmtN sequences belonged to <i>Thalassospira</i> species, and DsyB sequences closely resembled sequences from <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> including <i>Phaeobacter</i> , <i>Stappia</i> , <i>Pseudooceanicola</i> , and <i>Salipiger</i> . In addition, novel DMSP-producing bacterial isolates with unknown DMSP biosynthesis genes were identified in the SCS sediments, such as <i>Marinobacter</i> (<i>Gammaproteobacteria</i>) and		
Arctic and Antarctic	Erythrobacter(Alphaproteobaceria) Bacterial DMSP biosynthesis pathway (e.g. <i>dsyB</i> , <i>mmtN</i>) was not predominant in 60 metagenomic samples from polar waters	Bacteria mediated DMS/DMSP cycling was investigated in 60 seawater metagenomes and 214 MAGs obtained from polar oceans. There appeared to be an intense DMS/DMSP cycle in polar oceans. <i>dmdA</i> , <i>ddD</i> , <i>dddP</i> , and <i>ddK</i> were the most prevalent bacterial genes involved in DMS/DMSP cycling. <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> and <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> seemed to play prominent roles in DMS/DMSP cycling in polar oceans	Teng <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Changjiang Estuary	The abundance of bacterial DMSP producers and their <i>dsyB</i> and <i>mmtN</i> transcripts were lowest in the freshwater samples and increased abruptly with salinity in the transitional and seawater samples. The 16S rRNA amplicon analysis showed that <i>Alteromonas</i> , <i>Roseovarius</i> , <i>Thiobacimonas</i> (<i>Salipiger</i>), and <i>Marinobacter</i> were the major observed bacterial genera predicted to produce DMSP, as well the <i>Nisaea</i> in winter samples. Metagenomics analysis suggested that bacterial DMSP-producers were more abundant than their algal equivalents and were more prominent in summer than winter samples. Metagenomics analysis also predicted <i>Marinobacter</i> and <i>Roseovarius</i> to be dominant DMSP producing bacteria, but <i>Alteromonas</i> and <i>Thiobacimonas</i> (<i>Salipiger</i>) appeared less abundant than in the 16S rRNA amplicon analysis. The metagenomic DsyB sequences were mostly homologous to <i>Roseobacter</i> clade bacteria such as <i>Roseovarius</i> , <i>Thalassobaculum</i> , <i>Albimonas</i> , and the MmtN sequences most closely aligned to <i>Roseovarius</i> , <i>Labrenzia</i> , and <i>Rhodobacter</i> MmtN. There were no detectable <i>TpMMT</i> genes within the metagenomic data. However, there were some algal <i>DSYB</i> sequences identified	Bacterial DMSP catabolic genes and their transcripts followed the same trend of being largely enhanced in transitional and seawater samples with higher DMSP levels than freshwater samples. The metagenomics analysis showed that <i>dddP</i> is significantly more abundant than <i>dmdA</i> in all tested samples. The <i>dddP</i> sequences were closely related to <i>dddP</i> genes from <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> (e.g. <i>Roseovarius</i> and <i>Ruegeria</i>) as well as some <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> (e.g. <i>Rhodobacterales</i>) and Fungi (e.g. <i>Fusarium</i>). The metagenomic DmdA sequences were mainly homologous to <i>Pelagibacter</i> (SAR11 clade) and <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> (e.g. <i>Roseovarius</i> and <i>Ruegeria</i>) aligned to DddQ from <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> (e.g. <i>Roseovarius</i> and <i>Ruegeria</i>) and <i>Pelagibacteraceae</i> as well as other Alphaproteobacteria (e.g. <i>Roseovarius</i> and <i>Ruegeria</i>) and <i>Pelagibacteraceae</i> , <i>Rhizobiales</i>). The DddL	(Sun et al., 2021)

Table 1. Continued

Regions	DMSP biosynthesis	DMSP cleavage	References
		sequences were most homologous to <i>Rhodobacteraceae</i> (e.g. <i>Oceanicola, Rhodobacter,</i> and <i>Labrenzia</i>) and other Proteobacterial DddL enzymes, including, <i>Rhodospirillaceae</i> and <i>Marinobacter.</i> No eukaryotic <i>Alma1</i> DMSP lyase sequences were found in the metagenome data	
Monterey Bay, CA	ΝΑ	The <i>dmdA</i> genes were 1.9-fold more abundant in Monterey Bay. Genes <i>dddP</i> and <i>ddK</i> dominating the Monterey Bay DMSP cleavage gene pool were found in 9.8% and 7.2% of cells. The <i>dmdA</i> gene was harboured by members of the <i>Alphaproteobacterial</i> and the <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i> . The <i>dddK</i> gene was present in only a subset of SAR11 genomes. <i>dddP</i> gene sequences were placed in both <i>Alphaproteobacteria</i> and <i>Gammaproteobacteria</i>	Nowinski <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Sanriku Coastal Region in Japan	ΝΑ	The mesocosm study revealed that the <i>dmdA</i> subclade D was the major DMSP degradation gene in the free-living (FL) and particle- associated (PA) fractions. The <i>dddD</i> gene was found in higher abundance than the <i>dddP</i> gene in all the tested samples. SAR11 bacteria containing the <i>dmdA</i> subclade C/2 were likely the dominant DMSP consumer and Gammaproteobacteria containing <i>ddD</i> the dominant DMS producer in the Oyashio (OY) Current characterized by cold, low- salinity, and nutrient-rich water. On the other hand, SAR11 bacteria possessing <i>dmdA</i> subclade D were the dominant DMSP consumer and the marine Roseobacters possessing <i>dddP</i> were likely the dominant DMS producer in the Tsugaru Warm (TW) Current characterized by warm, saline, and relatively nutrient-poor water	Cui et al. (2020)

NA, data not available.

Table 2. DMSP production in bacterial and phytoplanktons strains.

Strains	Intracellular DMSP concentration (mM)	Conditions/ growth medium	References			
Emiliania huxlevi		Temperature stress	McParland et al. (2020)			
	145 ± 19	Control: T _{opt} (23°C)				
	323 ± 50	<t<sub>opt (14°C)</t<sub>				
	307 ± 49	<t<sub>ont (16°C)</t<sub>				
	198 ± 26	$< T_{ont} (20^{\circ} C)$				
	67 ± 9	$>T_{ont}$ (26°C)				
	119 \pm 17 ns	>T _{opt} (28°C)				
		NO ₃ ⁻ stress				
	165 ± 23	Control: N _{ss} ⁺				
	132 ± 24	N _{ss} ⁻				
	146 \pm 36 ns	N _{ss}				
		Salinity stress				
	57 ± 10	Control: Opt salinity (35‰)				
	$48\pm 6~\text{ns}$	<opt (25%)<="" salinity="" td=""></opt>				
	$50\pm8~\text{ns}$	<opt (30%)<="" salinity="" td=""></opt>				
	82 ± 13	>Opt salinity (40‰)				
	133 ± 28	>Opt salinity (45 or 50‰)				
Thalassiosira oceanica		Temperature stress				
	7 ± 1	Control: T _{opt} (23°C)				
	10 ± 2	<t<sub>opt (14°C)</t<sub>				
	9 ± 1	<t<sub>opt (16°C)</t<sub>				
	8 ± 1	<t<sub>opt (20°C)</t<sub>				
	2 ± 0.2	>T _{opt} (26°C)				
	2 ± 0.2	>T _{opt} (28°C)				
		NO ₃ ⁻ stress				
	4 ± 0.3	Control: N _{ss} ⁺				
	8 ± 0.3	N _{ss} ⁻				
	12 ± 0.5	N _{ss}				
		Salinity stress				
	$\textbf{0.9}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	Control: Opt salinity (35%)				
	-	<opt (25‰)<="" salinity="" td=""><td></td></opt>				
	-	<opt (30‰)<="" salinity="" td=""><td></td></opt>				
	4 ± 0.4	>Opt salinity (40‰)				
	14 ± 1	>Opt salinity (45 or 50‰)				
Labrenzia aggregata LZB033	9.6	MBM (minimal, 0.5 mM NH ₄ Cl)	Curson <i>et al</i> . (2017) ^a			
Labrenzia aggregata IAM 12614	5.1	MBM (minimal, 0.5 mM NH ₄ Cl)				
Pseduooceanicola batsensis HTCC2597	6.3	YTSS (complete)				
Pelagibaca bermudensis HTCC2601	40.6	YTSS (complete)				
Sediminimonas qiaohouensis DSM21189	19.1	Marine broth 2216+				
		3% NaCl (complete)				
	1.7					
Amorphus coralli DSM19760	1.3	Y ISS (complete)				
Thalassobaculum salexigens DSM19539	8.0	Marine broth 2216 (complete)				
Chrysochromulina tobin CCMP291	0.611 ± 0.08	NA	Curson et al. (2018)			
Chrysochromulina sp. PCC307	0.196 ± 0.0394					
Fragilariopsis cylindrus CCMP1102	6.71 ± 0.92					
Symbiodinium microadhailcum CCMP2467	282 ± 35.0					
Prymnesium parvum CCAP946/6	54.3 ± 5.97					
Prymnesium parvum CCAP941/6	20.0 ± 3.05					
Prymnesium parvum CCAP946/1A	33.0 ± 4.30					
Prymnosium panyum CCAP946/1B	35.5 ± 1.50					
Prymnosium patolliforum CCAP940/1B	40.4 ± 0.29					
Alovandrium minutum	23.3 ± 2.39	Algal calls were suspended in 20 ml of 28% NaCl solution	loop of al. (2005)			
	3307.0 ± 121.9	and stored at 80°C uptil intracellular DMSP analysis	Jean et al. (2003)			
Prorocentrum arcuatum	477.4 ± 04.3					
Protoperidinium pellucidum	1335 ± 135					
Ceratium furca	375 ± 0.1					
Prorocentrum sp IIBch.	1082	Algal cultures were grown in appropriate media under	Keller et al. (1989)			
Heterocansa nyomaea GVMNO	451	identical light conditions (10 ¹⁶ quanta cm ² s ⁻¹ , 1/·10	1000 CL al. (1303)			
Crypthecodinium cohnii CCOHNII	1 31 277	identical light conditions (10 quanta. cm ⁻ .s ; 14:10				
Scrinpsiella trochoidea PERI	350	igni. Uair Uyolej anu al 20 0				
Symbiodinium microadriaticum HIPP	345					
Thoracosphaera heimii 1 603	194					

(Continues)

Table 2. Continued

	Intracellular DMSP	Conditions/	
Strains	concentration (mM)	growth medium	References
Cachonina niei CACH	193		
Prorocentrum micans M12-11	190		
Heterocapsa sp. GT23	190		
Gymnodinium sp. 94GYR	125		
Gymnodinium simplex WT8	46		
Gymnodinium nelsoni GSBL	30		
Gonyaulax spinifera W1	16		
Gambierdiscus toxicus GT200A	10		
Gonyaulax polyedra GP60e	4.01		
Dissodinium lunula L823	1.94		
Gyrodinium aureolum KT3	0.65		
Gyrodinium aureolum PLY497A	0.36		
Pyrocystis noctiluca CCMP4	0.01		
Amphidinium carterae AMPHI	377	Algal cultures were grown in 100 ml batch cultures at	(Keller, 1989)
Prorocemtrum minimum EXUV	111	20° Cwith illumination of 10^{16} guanta cm ² s ⁻¹ (14:10	
Ceratium longipes 090201	0.2	light: dark cycle) in appropriate growth media	
Heterocapsa triguetra CCMP449	364	NA	(Caruana, 2010)
Scrippsiella trochoidea CCMP1599	326	grown at 15°C	
Amphidinium carterae CCMP1314	300	ŇA	
Alexandrium minutum CCMP113	290	NA	
Crypthecodinium cohnii CCMP316	106	5% Nitrogen medium	
	69	100% Nitrogen medium	
Polarella glacialis CCMP1138	94	NA	
Krvptoperidinium foliaceum CCMP1326	56	NA	
Lingulodinium polvedrum LP2810	23	NA	
Karlodinium veneficum CCMP415	11	NA	
Amphidinium carterae CCMP1314	326	NA	Harada (2007)
Amphidinium carterae X	288	NA	
Karenia brevis CCMP2281	18	NA	
Linaulodinium polvedrum CCMP1738	13	NA	
Amphidinium operculatum CCAP1102/6	312	All cells were grown in 500 ml glass culture flasks sealed	Hatton and Wilson (2007)
Scrippsiella trochoidea CCAP1134/1	169	with cotton and muslin bungs and maintained at 14°C	
Prorocentrum micans SB1	87	on a 14:10 Light: Dark cycle, at a photon flux density of	
Amphidinum carterae CCAP1102/1	57	70 mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ supplied by cool-white fluorescent	
Gonvaulax spinifera LY11363	48	liahting	
Gymnodinium simplex CCAP1117/3	35	5 5	
Alexandrium tamarense CCAP1119/1	20		
Linaulodinium polvedrum CCAP1121/2	5.09		
Alexandrium tamarense CCMP115	235	Cultures were maintained in the f/2-Si medium at 15°C	Wolfe et al. (2002)
Alexandrium tamarense CCMP116	205	and 80 μ mol photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹ (14:10-h light: dark	
Alexandrium tamarense CCMP1771	196	cycle) and were transferred every 7–14 days to	
Alexandrium fundvense CCMP1719	183	maintain exponential growth	
Prorocentrum minimum CCMP1329	167 ± 4	Cultures were maintained at 22°C in 125-ml	Spiese et al. (2009)
Amphidinium carterae CCMP1314	109 ± 15	polycarbonate Erlenymer flasks	-p
Scrippsiella trochoidea NIES-369	600	Strains were maintained in f/2 media under a 12 h	Niki et al. (2000)
Heterocapsa triguetra NIES-7	300	light:12 h dark cycle at 20°C	
Pfiesteria shumwayae CCMP2089	0.00425	The dinoflagellates were cultured in an f/2 medium lacking	Miller and Belas (2004)
Pfiesteria piscicida CCMP1830	0.00344	silica and supplemented with 15 parts per thousand (p.p.t.) of artificial sea salts (Instant Ocean) at 20°C	
		with a light \pm dark cycle of 14 h light (mean light intensity of 90 \pm 100 µM m ⁻² s ⁻¹) and 10 h dark	
Asterionellopsis glacialis PR1	0.21 + 0.007	NA	Williams et al. (2019)
Gvrodinium impudicum	820 + 150	NA	Belviso et al. (2000)
Pelagomonas son	15.4 and 31.4	The culture was maintained at 19°C under continuous low	Corn et al. (1996)
, orgononuo opp.	10.4 and 01.4	blue light (14.5 μ mol quanta m ⁻² s ⁻¹) provided by Daylight fluorescent tubes (Sylvania) wrapped with a 'monlight blue' Lee filter (Panavision)	(1990)
Gymodnium nelsoni	280	NA	Dacey and Wakeham (1986)
			- , , ,

ns, not significant; YTSS: Yeast Extract Tryptone Sea Salts Medium; NA, data not available. ^aNo added methylated sulfur compounds unless stated otherwise; 10 mM succinate was carbon source for all minimal media; 10 mM NH4Cl was nitrogen source for all media unless stated otherwise.



Fig. 1. DMSP biosynthesis pathways in different organisms. DMSP production in higher plants and bacteria containing *mmtN* (*Streptomyces mobaraensis*, *Spartina alterniflora*, *Wollastonia biflora* (A); macroalgae (*Ulva*), diatoms (*Thalassiosira pseudonana*, *Melosira*), prymnesiophytes (*Emiliania*), prasinophytes (*Tetraselmis*) and algae that contain *DSYB* and bacteria that contain *dsyB* (B); and the dinoflagellate *Crypthecodinium cohnii* (C). The dotted line represents a suggested, but as yet unconfirmed, pathway. In *W. biflora*, SMM is converted to DMSP aldehyde via an unconfirmed process, not through DMSP-amine. In *S. alterniflora*, the conversion of DMSPamine to DMSPaldehyde is found to be O₂-dependent, implicating an oxidase instead of a transaminase as a catalyst for this step. Enzymes involved in the pathways are shown in blue and genes in red. MSMT, methionine *S*-methyltransferase; SMMDC, SMM decarboxylase; DMSPAAT, DMSPamine aminotransferase; DMSPADH, DMSP-aldehyde dehydrogenase; MAT, Met aminotransferase; MR, MTOB reductase; MHM, MTHB methyltransferase; DDC, DMSHB decarboxylase Met, methionine; SMM, *S*-methyl-methionine; MMPA, methylmercaptopropionate; MTPA, 3-methylthiopropylamine; DMSHB, 4-dimethylsulfonio-2-hydroxybutyrate.

oxidation (Curson *et al.*, 2018; Liao and Seebeck, 2019). An *in silico* analysis of the *bur* gene locus revealed candidate genes for a methyltransferase (BurB), a decarboxylase (BurI), a transaminase (BurD), and a dehydrogenase (BurE) (Trottmann *et al.*, 2020) (Fig. S2).

DMSP synthesis in algae. Production of DMSP is confined to a few classes of phytoplankton, primarily the *Dinophyceae* (*dinoflagellates*) and *Prymnesiophyceae* (which includes the *coccolithophores*) (Keller *et al.*, 1989; Curson *et al.*, 2018). In addition to these organisms, DMSP production has been reported in diatoms, the red alga *Polysiphonia*, and the green alga *Ulva intestinalis* (Challenger and Simpson, 1948; Gage *et al.*, 1997; Summers *et al.*, 1998; Lyon *et al.*, 2011; Kettles *et al.*, 2014). DMSP synthesis occurs through the



0.20

Fig. 2. Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree of DsyB/DSYB proteins. Taxonomic groups are highlighted by different colours Bacilli-light green; Actinobacteria-orange; Betaproteobacteria-red; Alphaproteobacteriablue; Anthozoa-dark red; Prymnesiophyceae-olive green; Dinophyceaegreen; Bacillariophyceae-purple; and Dictyochophyceae-light blue. The shaded colours represent the functional proteins. Bootstrap support for nodes is indicated.

transamination and decarboxylation pathway in the algae (Fig. 1). DSYB gene encoding DSYB enzyme is a eukarvotic homologue of dsvB. It has been reported that DSYBs in the eukaryote originated from prokaryotic DsyBs early in their evolution and later were transferred to eukarvotes either through endosymbiosis during time of mitochondrial origin or more recently by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) (Curson et al., 2018), DSYB enzyme has been reported to be functional in diatoms such as Fragilariopsis cylindrus CCMP1102, dinoflagellates such as Alexandrium tamarense CCMP1771. Lingulodinium polyedrum CCMP1936, Symbiodinium microadriaticum CCMP2467 and prvmnesiophytes such as Chrysochromulina tobin CCMP291 and P. parvum CCAP946/1B (Curson et al., 2018) (Fig. 2). The intracellular DMSP concentrations in these strains are given in Table 2. Alexandrium minutum and Alexandrium pacificum, and Alexandrium fundyense were reported to produce DMSP (Caruana and Malin, 2014; Caruana et al., 2020). Still, the synthesis pathway of DMSP in dinoflagellates and Alexandrium remains to be determined (Fig. 1). Increased DSYB transcription, DSYB protein levels and DMSP concentration in P. parvum have been observed in response to increased salinity, where might function as an significant osmolyte. In F. cylindrus, DMSP production and DSYB transcription increased with nitrogen limitation and increased salinity, but the latter might support DMSP in osmoregulation (Curson et al., 2018). Both C. tobin CCMP291 and Chrysochromulina sp. PCC307, the two haptophytes that adapted to different salinity levels (fresh-brackish and marine waters, respectively) did not respond to either condition. In contrast, the function of TpMMT (TpMT2) encoded by the gene TpMT2 was only confirmed in T. pseudonana. The TpMT2 showed high similarity with the proteins from Thalassiosira oceanica (76%), Phaeodactylum tricornutum CCAP 1055/1 (57%), but were not shown to be functional and had low similarity of 12% with DsyB of Alphaproteobacteria. TpMT2 protein concentrations in T. pseudonana were found to be regulated in response to both salinity changes and nitrogen limitation (Kageyama et al., 2018). Among DMSP-producing phytoplankton, the intracellular DMSP concentration varies greatly among groups and within genera (Caruana and Malin, 2014) and is generally high in dinoflagellates (3.4 M) and haptophytes (413 mM) and lowest in diatoms (generally <50 mM) (Keller et al., 1989). The dinoflagellate S. microadriaticum CCMP2467 produces high DMSP concentrations (282 mM) (Caruana and Malin, 2014; Curson et al., 2018). Significant intragroup variation in DMSP production is seen with some representatives producing DMSP below detectable levels (Keller et al., 1989; Caruana and Malin, 2014). DMSP producers can be divided into two groups based on

cellular DMSP levels and changes in these levels in response to environmental stressors: high DMSP producers (HiDPs) that contain ≥50 mM intracellular DMSP and low DMSP producers (LoDPs) that contain <50 mM (McParland and Levine, 2019; McParland et al., 2020). It is suggested that HiDPs may not significantly alter cellular DMSP levels due to nutrient stress, whereas LoDPs seemed to respond with considerable changes in cellular DMSP (Stefels et al., 2007). It is hypothesized that DMSP may be expressed constitutively in HiDPs, whereas it may be regulated as a stress growth response in LoDPs (McParland and Levine, 2019). The hypothesis of differential regulation of DMSP under nutrient limitation was recently further supported with the first direct comparison of a HiDP and LoDP (McParland et al., 2020). Currently, it has been suggested that the eukaryotic DMSP synthesis genes such as DSYB and TPMT2 may be marker genes for HiDPs and LoDPs groups, respectively. Both DSYB and TPMT2 genes are globally abundant in in situ eukaryotic metatranscriptomes. Most known LoDPs exhibits TpMT2 genotypes and some exhibit TpMT2 + DSYB genotypes, whereas HiDPs exhibits DSYB genotypes (McParland et al., 2021).

DMSP synthesis in animals. Besides algae and bacteria, DMSP synthesis has also been reported in some species of corals such as Acropora (Raina et al., 2013). The juveniles of Acropora millepora and Acropora tenuis lacking photosynthetic symbionts, when subjected to thermal stress (32°C), showed significantly increased concentrations of DMSP levels detected through nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (Tapiolas et al., 2013). Such increase in the DMSP level was also seen in adult reef-building corals when subjected to thermal stress (Raina et al., 2013). Further, an LC-MS-based method provides accurate measurements of DMSP from nanomolar to high micromolar concentrations in corals (Li et al., 2010). It has been suggested that nutrient history can influence the response of scleractinian corals to thermal stress (Hadjioannou et al., 2019). Recently, it has been shown that natural stressors on the staghorn coral A. intermedia in the field, and stress from added dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) and tripolyphosphate (TPP) at high seawater temperatures caused corals to bleach, whilst extracellular DMSP, intracellular and tissue DMSP concentrations increased (Fischer and Jones, 2021). DMSP, DMS and DMSO can potentially be used as antioxidant defence by corals (Deschaseaux et al., 2014; Jones and King, 2015). Orthologues of the eukaryotic gene encoding a SAM-dependent methyl-DSYB transferase in the coral Acropora cervicornis catalyses the important step in DMSP production through the transamination pathway (Fig. 1). It has been suggested that biosynthesis of DMSP in corals occurs through HGT of *DSYB* from dinoflagellates. Nonetheless, it is possible that DSYB sequences in the coral might be contaminant sequences inadvertently isolated from their symbionts (Curson *et al.*, 2018). DMSP production has been recorded in other animals such as mussels, giant clams, anemones and benthic flatworms (White *et al.*, 1995; Hill *et al.*, 2000; Van Alstyne *et al.*, 2009). The concentration of DMSP in the coral *Acropora cytherea* and the giant clam *Tridacna maxima* varied according to the complexity of species assemblages (Guibert *et al.*, 2020).

DMSP synthesis in plants. DMSP production has been reported in Spartina species (Kocsis et al., 1998), sugarcanes (Paquet et al., 1994), maize (Ausma et al., 2017) and the angiosperms W. biflora (Hanson et al., 1994). Biosynthesis of DMSP in cordgrass Spartina anglica was suggested to be the main source of DMSP and DMS in most saltmarshes (Yoch, 2002), but recent finding indicates that associated bacteria in the S. anglica rhizosphere and phyllosphere are the possible contributors (Williams et al., 2019). DMSP production in plants takes place through methylation pathway (Fig. 1) (Hanson and Gage, 1996; Kocsis et al., 1998), which commences with S-methylation and ends with oxidation with transamination and decarboxylation occurring either individually in Spartina alterniflora or as combined steps-in Wollastonia biflora (Kageyama et al., 2018).

DMSP catabolism

Marine heterotrophic bacteria import and degrade DMSP using three known metabolic pathways, that is, the demethylation, the cleavage and the oxidation pathway (Fig. 3). Recently, using the sulfur isotope determining technique in the quantitating assessment of the sulfur partitioning, it has been indicated that sulfur isotope fractionations constrain the biological cycling of DMSP in the upper ocean and it was shown that the residual DMSP from the demethylation pathway is 2.7‰ enriched in δ ³⁴S relative to the initial DMSP and that the fractionation factor $({}^{34}\varepsilon)$ of the cleavage pathways varies between 1% and 9‰. This supports the notion that demethylation dominates over cleavage in marine environments (Osorio-Rodriguez et al., 2021). In this section, structure and mechanism of several enzymes involved in DMSP catabolism will be discussed.

DMSP demethylation pathway. Here, we have focused on recent work on several Dmd enzyme (Schuller *et al.*, 2012; Tan *et al.*, 2013; Shao *et al.*, 2019; Wirth *et al.*, 2020).The enormous amounts of DMSP believed to be catabolized by marine bacteria through the demethylation pathway involves a series of 'Dmd' enzymes



Fig. 3. Biochemical pathways for dimethylsulphoniopropionate catabolism. In the cleavage pathways, several DMSP lyases DddL, DddP, DddQ, DddW, DddK, DddX, DddY or algal Alma1 catabolizes DMSP to acrylate with the release of dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and acrylate is then converted to 3-hydroxypropionate (3HP) by the action of AcuN and AcuK, whereas the DMSP lyase DddD converts DMSP to 3HP. 3HP is then converted to malonate semi-aldehyde (Mal-SA) and then acetyl-CoA by DddA and DddC, respectively. An acrylate-CoA ligase (PrpE), an acryloyl-CoA reductase (AcuI) and AcuH are also involved in the cleavage pathway. The DMSP demethylation pathway is catalysed by the DMSP demethylase (DmdA), MMPA-CoA ligase (DmdB), MMPA-CoA dehydrogenase (DmdC), and either the MTA-CoA hydratase (DmdD) or acrylate utilization hydratase (AcuH). In the oxidation pathway, DMSP is oxidized to dimethylsulfoxonium propionate (DMSOP). However, enzyme involved in this pathway is unknown.

namelv DmdA. DmdB. DmdC and DmdD/AcuH. These Dmd enzymes collectively catabolize DMSP to acetaldehyde and MeSH for use as carbon and sulfur sources, respectively. In the first step, DmdA enzyme catalyses a redox-neutral methyl transfer reaction from DMSP to tetrahydrofolate (THF) and produces 3-methylmercaptopropionate (MMPA) and 5-methyl-THF (Howard et al., 2006; Schuller et al., 2012). Furthermore, MMPA is degraded by the ligation of CoA through MMPA CoA ligase DmdB to produce MMPA-CoA, which is then dehydrogenated by the MMPA-CoA dehydrogenase DmdC to yield methylthioacryloyl-CoA (MTA-CoA). Finally, the MTA-CoA hydratase DmdD catalyses the hydration and hydrolysis of MTA-CoA. As a result, acetaldehyde and MeSH are formed (Reisch et al., 2011b). The metabolism of reduced sulfur compounds in the marine Roseobacters is complex, as some organisms producing MeSH from MMPA despite the lack of dmdA gene in their genome (González et al., 1999). These organisms possess DmdBCD/acuH and degrade MMPA to produce MeSH instead of DMSP catabolism. MeSH can then be assimilated into biomass or broken down to formaldehyde and H_2S . In addition, only 33% and 50% of the methionine is recently reported to be biosynthesized from the MeSH in R. pomeroyi and R. lacuscaerulensis, respectively ('direct capture' pathway). The remaining methionine was biosynthesized by the random assembly of free sulfide and methyl-THF derived from DMSP ('reassembly' pathway) (Wirth et al., 2020). Using an isotopic labelling strategy to track DMSP sulfur and carbon assimilations, it has been demonstrated that the direct capture of methanethiol is not the primary pathway used for methionine biosynthesis in two *Ruegeria* species (Wirth *et al.*, 2020).

DMSP demethylase A (DmdA). dmdA gene encoding DmdA enzyme was first reported in the Roseobacter group bacterium Ruegeria pomerovi DSS-3 (Howard et al., 2006). DmdA is a member of aminoethyltransferase/ alvcine cleavage T protein (AMT/GCV T) family and use THF as the cofactor (Hernández et al., 2020). Especially, the residues that cooperate with the folate moiety and those participated in the ring stacking of THF are reported to be highly conserved (Lee et al., 2004; Reisch et al., 2008; Schuller et al., 2012). DmdA orthologues present in most of the sequenced members of the Rhodobacteraceae family, as well as bacterioplankton strains of SAR11, SAR324, SAR116 and in marine Gammaproteobacteria (González et al., 1999; Gonzalez et al., 2003; Howard et al., 2006; Bürgmann et al., 2007; Reisch et al., 2008) like Chromatiales, which could have acquired dmdA gene by HGT (Howard et al., 2006; González et al., 2019). This phylogenetic distribution suggested an expansion of dmdA through HGT events between different lineages of bacteria, presumably through viruses (Raina et al., 2010). Homologues of the dmdA gene are reported in 58% of cells sampled in the GOS metagenome and in at least 80% of Roseobacter cells and 40% of SAR11 cells sampled in the Sargasso Sea metagenome. dmdA genes are grouped into 5 clades and 14 subclades based on their nucleotide and amino acid sequences (Howard et al., 2006; Howard et al., 2008; Varaljay et al., 2010). dmdA gene appears to be part of a conserved operon, its evolution might be linked to genes such as dmdB, dmdC, and dmdD (González et al., 2019). Recently, both dmdA and phage-like gene transfer agent (GTA) capsid protein gene (g5) sequence has been reported in Antarctic strain Roseicitreum antarcticum ZS2-28, which support the hypothesis of HGT for dmdA among taxonomically heterogeneous bacterioplankton, and suggest a wide distribution of functional gene (i.e. dmdA) in global marine environments (Zeng, 2019). Currently, it has been reported that DmdA is a new gene family originated from GCV_T genes by duplication and functional divergence driven by positive selection before a coevolution between Roseobacter and phytoplankton (Hernández et al., 2020). It was suggested that Roseobacter acquired dmdA by HGT prior to an environment with higher DMSP (Hernández et al., 2020). It was proposed that the ancestor of the pathway that carried the DMSP demethylation pathway genes evolved in the Archean and was exposed to a higher concentration of DMSP in a sulfur-rich atmosphere and anoxic ocean, compared to recent Roseobacter eco-orthologues (Candidatus Puniceispirilum marinum IMCC1322, ADE38317.1 and the Roseobacter clade), which should be adapted to lower

concentrations of DMSP (Hernández et al., 2020). The structure of the apoenzyme DmdA has been reported from Pelagibacter ubique, which is a tridomain structure similar to the GCV T (Dev. 2017). In DmdA, domain 1 (residues 1-62 and 150-248) possesses a single Greek Key motif enclosed by three alpha helices, domain 2 (residues 63-149 and 249-288) is made up of a five-stranded antiparallel beta sheet with alpha helices on either side and the Cterminal domain 3 (residues 289-369) is reported to form a distorted jelly roll. DmdA enzyme active site is situated in a cleft between domains 1 and 2 (Schuller et al., 2012). DmdA enzyme is reported to catalyse the redox-neutral methyl transfer reaction from the substrate DMSP, which is undoubtedly distinct from GCV_T proteins, dimethylglycine oxidase and sarcosine oxidase (Schubert et al., 2003; Dey, 2017). The tyrosine residue (Y206) is a conserved feature of the THF-binding motif in DmdA and gives a hydrogen bond to the amine group of the folate ring on the C-2 carbon atom. In addition, acidic residues, such as E63, D108 and E204, are found within hydrogen bonding range of THF or H₂O molecules. The hydrogen bonding interaction between THF N-8 nitrogen and the protein backbone carbonyl of serine residue (S122) is consistent with a mechanism for making the N-5 nitrogen atom a better nucleophile to attack the methyl group on the sulfonium atom of substrate DMSP. The products of methyl transfer reaction are N5-methyl-THF and MMPA (Schuller et al., 2012).

3-Methylmercaptopropionyl-CoA ligase DmdB. The enzyme MMPA-CoA ligase DmdB catalyses the production of MMPA-CoA and is more widely distributed than DmdA (Bullock et al., 2017). In R. pomeroyi DSS-3, two forms of DmdB, RPO_DmdB1 and RPO_DmdB2, exist, whereas in the ubiquitous SAR11 clade bacterium 'Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique' HTCC1062, only a single form of this enzyme, designated PU DmdB1, is reported (Reisch et al., 2011b). Recently, the crystal structure of DmdB protein from Ruegeria lacuscaerulensis ITI_1157 (WP 005982887.1) has been characterized. DmdB functions as a dimer and each monomer is composed of a large N-terminal domain (Met1 to Arg432, the N domain) and a small C-terminal domain (Ser433 to Gly539, the Cdomain). The N domain is made up of three beta sheets (sheets 1, 2 and 3) and six helices, which are sandwiched between sheets 1 and 2, whereas the C-domain forms a three-stranded beta sheet (sheet 4) with three helices on its face (Shao et al., 2019). The catalytic mechanism of DmdB has been proposed in Roseobacters. The lysine residue (Lys523) in DmdB plays an important role in catalysis and is conserved in both marine and terrestrial bacteria. DmdB undergoes two conformational changes during catalysis. At first, the binding of an ATP molecule leads to a conformational change in DmdB from the open conformation to the

adenvlate-forming conformation after a 64° rotation of the C-domain. MMPA is maintained by amino acid residues His231, Trp235 and Glv302 when it enters the active site and acts as the nucleophilic base to attack the $P\alpha$ of ATP, which weakens the $P\alpha$ -O bond of ATP. The production of the $P\alpha$ -O bond between the α -phosphate and the carboxyl oxygen of MMPA causes the splitting of the $P\alpha$ —O bond between the α -phosphate and the β -phosphate. After this, the intermediate MMPA-AMP is formed, which is then ready to accept the coenzyme. Second, after a 140° rotation of the C-domain. DmdB forms the thioester-forming conformation. The CoA is maintained by multiple amino acids residues, namely Asp435, Lys438, Gly440, Gly441, Glu442, Trp443 and Glu474. The sulfhydryl sulfur of CoA attacks the carbonyl carbon of MMPA-AMP, which weakens the C-O bond, followed by the production of the C-S bond between MMPA and CoA resulting splitting of the C-O bond between MMPA and AMP and the S-H bond of CoA. Then, CoA replaces AMP and, the MMPA-CoA is produced. The proposed catalytic cycle is adopted by most of the bacterial DmdBs (Shao et al., 2019).

MMPA-CoA dehydrogenase DmdC. The SPO3804 gene, immediately upstream in the R. pomeroyi DSS-3 genome, was annotated as acyl-CoA dehydrogenase and encodes DmdC enzyme catalyzing the production of MTA-CoA. To date, three DmdC isozymes in the DSS-3 genome have been identified and shown to have activity towards MMPA-CoA (Reisch et al., 2011b). The crystal structure of DmdC protein (WP_009812433.1) from Roseovarius nubinhibens ISM reveals that it is a homodimer and each DmdC monomer is composed of four functional domains: an N-terminal α-helical domain (α -domain 1, residues 1–64 and 73–155), a 10-stranded β-sheet domain (residues 65–72 and 156–280), a central α -helical domain (α -domain 2, residues 281–452) and a C-terminal α -helical domain (α -domain 3, residues 453-593) (Shao et al., 2019). According to earlier work on acyl-CoA dehydrogenases (Massey and Ghisla, 1974; Pohl et al., 1986; Kim et al., 1993; Thorpe and Kim, 1995; Tamaoki et al., 1999; Gulick et al., 2004), the catalytic mechanism of DmdC for MMPA-CoA redox reaction was proposed in Roseobacters. The Phe195 and Glu435 are key residues for DmdC activity and are responsible for flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-binding and MMPA-CoA catalysis, respectively. It has been reported that the FAD is stabilized by several residues, namely Met161, Thr170, Phe195, Ser197 and Tyr434, in the active centre of DmdC, whereas the fatty acyl portion of MMPA-CoA is sandwiched between Glu435 and FAD. The negatively charged carboxyl group of Glu435 acts as the nucleophilic base in order to attack the Ca hydrogen of MMPA-CoA, and the abstraction of the proton from the $C\alpha$

causes the production of a C α carbanion. The carbanion then attacks C β , which weakens the C β —H bond of MMPA-CoA, and the C β hydrogen, as a hydride ion, is directly transferred to the N5 position of FAD causing the formation of C α —C β of MTA-CoA. When FAD takes up the hydride, the carbonyl oxygen adjacent to the N1 becomes negatively charged. As a result, MMPA-CoA is then dehydrogenated by DmdC to produce MTA-CoA. The proposed catalytic cycle is universally present in most of the bacterial DmdCs (Shao *et al.*, 2019).

MTA-CoA hydratase DmdD. DmdD enzyme catalyzing the release of MeSH was identified in R. pomerovi DSS-3 as encyl CoA hydratase (SPO3805), which belongs to crotonase superfamily (cd06558). It is not widely distributed and is absent in the most of marine bacteria which use the demethylation pathway, i.e., contain DmdA enzyme. An orthologue of DmdD, named AcuH for acrylate utilization hydratase, was reported in DmdD negative strains of R. lacuscaerulensis and in R. pomeroyi (Reisch et al., 2011b). The crystal structure of wild-type R. pomeroyi DmdD free enzyme has been determined. DmdD is a hexamer and consists of a dimer of trimers where the three monomers of each trimer are related by a crystallographic threefold axis. DmdD monomer has two domains, namely the N-terminal domain (NTD) and the Cterminal domain (CTD). The NTD adopts the typical spiral crotonase fold (β - β - α superhelix, β 1- β 11 and α 1- α 8), which is organized around two roughly perpendicular β -sheets. The CTD is composed of three α -helices (α 9– α 11) followed by a long loop at the extreme C terminus of the protein and mediates the hexamerization of DmdD. The long loop at the C terminus is also involved in the formation of the CoA-binding site of a neighbouring monomer of the hexamer (Tan et al., 2013). DmdD is reported to catalyze the efficient hydration and hydrolysis of MTA-CoA, which is analogous to the canonical crotonase enzymes, and likely uses a similar mechanism, with Glu121 as the general base and Glu141 as the general acid. In DmdD, the Glu141 residue either directly attacks the carbonyl carbon of the CoA ester through an anhydride mechanism or activates a water molecule to catalyze CoA ester hydrolysis. MTA-CoA is converted to malonyl semi-aldehyde by DmdD with hydration followed by MeSH release and hydrolysis to eliminate CoA. This compound can spontaneously decompose, producing CO₂ and acetaldehyde (Tan et al., 2013).

DMSP cleavage pathways. Here, we have concentrated on most recent findings (Alcolombri et al., 2014; Hehemann et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Alcolombri et al., 2015; Brummett et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Brummett and Dey, 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017; Schnicker et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021).

The DMSP lyase pathway functions in several organisms such as bacteria, eukaryotic phytoplankton, macroalgae and fungi and produces DMS and acrylate or 3-hydroxypropionate (Kiene and Bates, 1990; Curson et al., 2011a; Brummett et al., 2015). Most DMSP lyases, such as DddK, DddL, DddQ, DddY and DddW, in bacteria have been referred as cupin DMSP lyases that share common sequence motifs, together with the conserved histidine motifs (Todd et al., 2011; Brummett et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Schnicker et al., 2017; Lei et al., 2018). The cupin Ddd + enzymes also share a β -barrel-fold structure comprising eight antiparallel β-strands. The cupin superfamily proteins contain a metal ion in their active sites (Dunwell, 1998; Dunwell et al., 2004). In fact, all cupin-containing DMSP lyases are reported to require metal cofactors for their activity (Li et al., 2014; Brummett et al., 2015; Schnicker et al., 2017). DddL and DddY are bona fide DMSP lyases in the cupin superfamily. However, DddQ appears to show DMSP lyase activity as a promiscuous, side activity that stems from the shared active site architecture. The overlapping substrate and/or reaction patterns of members of this newly identified superfamily are termed the Cupin-DLL (Cupin DMSP lyase and lyase-like) superfamily (Lei et al., 2018).

DMSP lvase DddP. DddP enzyme (~110 kDa), encoded by dddP gene, is a member of the metallopeptidase (M24 peptidase) family (Todd et al., 2009; Kirkwood et al., 2010a). Generally, an M24 peptidase hydrolyzes C-N bonds, but DddP cleaves C-S bonds (Todd et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). dddP gene is widely found in several marine bacteria. dominantly found in Roseobacters (Hehemann et al., 2014) and has undergone substantial HGT, as close homologues occur in related such more distantly bacteria as the Gammaproteobacterium Oceanimonas doudoroffii (Curson et al., 2012), and Alphaproteobacterium 'Candidatus Puniceispirillum marinum' IMCC1322 (the SAR116 clade) (Choi et al., 2015). In the deep ocean, the Thioglobus/SUP05 group members carry genes for DMSP cleavage and marine Actinomycetes species possess the genes for DMSP cleavage (Liu et al., 2018; Landa et al., 2019). Moreover, dddP also present in many ascomycete fungi such as Aspergillus spp. and Fusarium spp. (Todd et al., 2009; Kirkwood et al., 2010b). Among the identified bacterial DMSP lyase genes, dddP and dddQ are widely present in marine metagenomes (Curson et al., 2018). The crystal structures of DddP were solved from R/DddP (Wang et al., 2015), and its topological structure was similar to that of RdDddP from Roseobacter denitrificans Och 114 (Hehemann et al., 2014). R/DddP is a dimer and each monomer has a two-domain: an N-terminal domain (N-domain) and a C-terminal domain (C-domain). R/DddP

monomer adopts a typical 'pitta-bread' fold structure and possesses 15 β -strands and 16 α -helices. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis suggested that R/DddP contained two Fe ions (Wang et al., 2015). There are two Fe ions present at the active site of RdDddP, but it may also contain Ni. Zn or Cu in place of Fe ions (Hehemann et al., 2014). A mechanism for DMSP cleavage catalyzed by R/DddP has been proposed. In the absence of substrate DMSP, Asp (295, 297, 307), His371, Glu (406, 421) and a water molecule in the active site are reported to form 11 coordination bonds with the two-iron core, and Glu421 bridges Fe1 and Fe2. When the substrate DMSP access the active site of the enzyme, the movable Fe1 binds to the carboxyl group of substrate via electrostatic interaction and stabilizes the molecule in the active site, whereas Trp95, Tyr117 and Tyr366 bind to the sulfur in DMSP. This binding triggers the $C\alpha$ hydrogen of substrate DMSP and the carboxyl side chain of Asp377 and weakens the interaction between Fe1 and Glu421. Asp377 acts as a nucleophilic base to attack the $C\alpha$ of DMSP, causing the production of an unstable DMSP-DddP intermediate. With the production of the O-H bond of Asp377 in *RI*DddP, the α -H of DMSP is released and the C–S bond of substrate DMSP is polarized and rapidly cleaved and then the C α =C β double bond of acrylate is formed. Eventually, acrylate and DMS are generated (Hehemann et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015).

DMSP lyase DddQ. DddQ lyase enzyme, encoded by dddQ gene, is a member of the cupin superfamily and exclusively occurs in Roseobacters. including R. nubinhibens ISM, R. pomerovi DSS-3 and their close relatives (Todd et al., 2011). The crystal structures of DddQ from R. lacuscaerulensis ITI_1157 indicated that two molecules of DddQ were organized as a dimer. Each molecule contains five α -helices and eight β -sheets. DddQ is probably a zinc metalloenzyme accommodating \sim 42% of Zn²⁺ ions in the active site as revealed by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (Li et al., 2014). Its activity is inhibited in the presence of excess Zn²⁺ owing to the binding of a second Zn^{2+} in the active site and activated by Mn^{2+} and Co^{2+} (Holmquist and Vallee, 1974; Larsen and Auld, 1989; Holland et al., 1995; Gomez-Ortiz et al., 1997; Li et al., 2014). Recent metal content analysis showed that in the isolated form DddQ consistently possessed \sim 50% of bound iron, whereas slight amount of zinc (<1%) was reported (Brummett and Dev, 2016). It has been proposed that in the absence of the substrate DMSP, the residues His125, His129, His163 and Tyr131 in the active site coordinate Zn^{2+} to maintain the architecture of DddQ, whereas in the presence of DMSP, DddQ remains in the open form and permits the substrate DMSP to enter the active site. The

oxygen atom of the carboxyl group from DMSP forms a coordination bond with Zn²⁺ and replaces Tyr131 resulting in a 25° deviation in the residue Tvr131. Next. tyrosine residue shifts closer to the DMSP molecule. This shift permits the negatively charged oxygen atom of Tvr131 to interact with C α proton of DMSP, causing the production of a C α carbanion. The carbonion then attacks the C β , which weakens the S–C β bond of DMSP. This reaction cascade forms a DMSP-DddQ intermediate, in which the proton of C α -H is abstracted, causing the splitting of the S–C β bond and production of C α =C β double bond of DMSP, while an O-H bond of Tyr 131 in DddQ is produced. As a result, DMSP is converted to DMS and acrylate (Li et al., 2014). Recently, it has been reported that the residue Tyr 131 plays a relatively minor role (Lei et al., 2018). Another mechanism of DMSP cleavage by DddQ enzyme was proposed. In the absence of substrate DMSP, Fe(III)-DddQ is in an open conformation with Tyr131 swung away from the metal centre, which mimics the resting state of the enzyme. Upon the addition of substrate, a DMSP molecule is coordinated in a monodentate fashion via its C1 carboxylate oxygen. Tyr131 swings in to coordinate iron and three residues, His123, Tyr131 and Tyr 120, aid in attaching DMSP through cooperation with the second carboxylate oxygen, thus leading it into a proper site ready for catalysis. The appropriate placing of substrate permits Tyr120 to be in close association with the hydrogen on the C2 carbon, allowing its consequent elimination and formation of acrylate (Brummett and Dey, 2016).

DMSP lyase DddY. dddY gene, which encodes DddY enzyme, is reported in the Betaproteobacterium Alcaligenes faecalis strain M3A, Gammaproteobacteria, Deltaproteobacteria and Epsilonproteobacteria (Curson et al., 2011b). DddY (~46 kDa) is a bonafide DMSP lyase (Lei et al., 2018). It is the only DMSP lyase present in the periplasm, whereas all other DMSP lyases are found in the cytoplasm (Curson et al., 2011b). The crystal structure of DddY was determined from the Gammaproteobacterium A. bereziniae. DddY contains an N-terminal domain (Ala22-Val190), which is mainly comof *a*-helices and a C-terminal domain posed (Ser191-Pro401) that adopts a typical β-barrel fold with two conserved cupin motifs (Li et al., 2017). It is reported that in the absence of DMSP, residues His265, Glu269, His338 and a water molecule coordinate Zn²⁺ in the active site of DddY. When DMSP enters the active site, it displaces the water molecule and then there is a formation of a new coordination bond with Zn^{2+} . After that, the residue Tyr271 attracts the C α -H proton of DMSP and forms a C α carbanion, which later attacks the C β of DMSP, causing the breakdown of the C_β-S bond. Eventually, DMS and acrylate are generated from the active

site. Further, this suggested mechanism is prevailing in DddY proteins from Beta-, Gamma-, Delta- and Epsilonproteobacteria. Most of the residues participating in the formation of acidic zone in the cavity for substrate access, namely Tyr225, Glu227 and Glu248, coordinating Zn^{2+} such as His265, Glu269 and His338, binding DMSP such as Phe207, Tyr225, His263, Tyr271, Trp359 and Arg361 and participating in the catalysis reaction, namely Tyr223 and Tyr271, have been found to be highly conserved. However, the residue Phe207, forming the hydrophobic box which accommodates the tertiary sulfonium group of DMSP, is reported to show a comparatively low conservation, but its corresponding residues in various species exhibit similar properties (Li *et al.*, 2017).

DMSP lyase DddK. DddK lyase, encoded by dddK, was first reported in P. ubique HTCC1062 and cleaves DMSP into DMS and acrylate. DMSP lyase DddK is found in SAR11 bacteria, which comprises 30% of the ocean's surface microbial community, and dddK transcripts are relatively abundant in marine environments. Comparisons of Pelagibacterales genomes across the Group la subclade show that *dddK* homologues are present in 8 of 12 Pelagibacterales la genomes (Sun et al., 2016). The crystal structures of DMSP lyase DddK from P. ubique HTCC1062 was determined, and it exists as a dimer in the solution (Schnicker et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2019). DddK is made up of mainly beta strands that adopt a beta-barrel fold typical of cupin superfamily members, such as DddQ and DddY (Li et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017). Recently, the catalytic mechanism of DddK from the strain P. ubique HTCC1062 has been proposed. The Tyr64 residue is the major catalytic residue, whereas Tyr122 is reported to promote DddK lyase activity when Tyr64 is mutated to phenylalanine residue (Peng et al., 2019). This is consistent with the results of a previous study (Schnicker et al., 2017). It has been reported that an activated water molecule possibly permits Tyr64 to be deprotonated and achieve capability to act as a catalytic base, which contradicts a previous study that suggests it is the conformational change of Tyr64 that performs this (Schnicker et al., 2017). In the absence of substrate DMSP, residues His56, His58, Glu62, His96 and a water molecule coordinate Mn^{2+} in the active site of DddK. When DMSP arrives at the active site of DddK, it displaces the water molecule and there is a formation of a new coordination bond with Mn²⁺. Later, Tyr64 attacks the C α -H proton of DMSP, causing the production of a $C\alpha$ carbanion, which attacks the C β of DMSP, splitting the C_B-S bond of DMSP. As a result, DMS and acrylate are liberated from the active site of DddK. The suggested mechanism for DddK is common in SAR11 bacteria with DddK (Peng et al., 2019).

DMSP lvase DddD, dddD gene encoding DddD enzyme was first identified in Marinomonas MWYL1 isolated from the rhizosphere of the saltmarsh grass Spartina anglica (Todd et al., 2007). Among different DMSP lyases, DddD forms 3-hydroxypropionate (3HP) instead of acrylate along with DMS (Todd et al., 2007: Todd et al., 2010). dddD Most frequently, gene is found in Gammaproteobacteria, especially in Oceanospirillales and Pseudomonadales, isolated from DMSP-rich ecosystems, such as corals, marine seaweeds and saltmarsh sediments (Ansede et al., 2001; Raina et al., 2009; Curson et al., 2010; Todd et al., 2010; Raina et al., 2016), and also in some other Proteobacteria, such as Rhizobiales, Rhodobacterales and Burkholderiales (Todd et al., 2007). Endozoicomonas species have been reported to dominate the microbiomes of diversified marine hosts existing in shallow depths or intertidal zones, such as corals in tropical and temperate reefs (Neave et al., 2017; van de Water et al., 2017). Interestingly, genomes of Endozoicomonas species, including E. acroporae, harbour a high percentage of oxidative stress-responsive genes, which provide clues for their potential to alleviate oxidative stress in the coral species. In addition, E. acroporae, which is usually found in varied coral genera in the Indo-pacific region, can metabolize DMSP to DMS via the DddD cleavage pathway and plays a role in the coral sulfur cycle (Tandon et al., 2020). Interestingly, this bacterium may also defend its host from the coral pathogen Vibrio coralliilyticus, which utilizes DMSP as a cue to find physiologically stressed corals (Garren et al., 2014). In Marinomonas MWYL1, dddD is transcribed divergently from a four-gene operon, *dddTBCR*. Such gene arrangement also occurs in Marinomonas MED121 and in Marinobacter. Both Sagittula stellata and Rhizobium NGR234 control their dddD genes expression through DddZ regulatory protein in place of DddR, which is used by Marinomonas and Burkholderia cepacia AMMD. Both S. stellata and Marinomonas transport the DMSP via a BCCT-type transporter (Johnston et al., 2008). Recently, a DddD-Rh enzyme encoded by dddD-Rh gene found on the plasmid Actinobacteria Rhodococcus sp. NJ-530 has been identified and it is very distant from the known DMSP lyase DddD in sequence and evolution (Wang et al., 2020). The crystal structure of DMSP lyase DddD has not been resolved vet, and the structural homology using Swiss-Model (Arnold et al., 2006) identified DddD's active site residues. According to this, the anticipated topology of DddD lyase enzyme is made up of two CaiB-like intertwined domains joined through a long polypeptide linker. This model also suggested that the C-domain contains the catalytic aspartate that performs CoA transfer in all class III CoA-transferases, whereas the N domain contains a short insertion (LGSSY, residues 165-169) (Alcolombri et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020). A catalytic cycle has been purposed, in which DMSP and acetyl CoA react via an acetylated enzyme intermediate to form a DMSP covalent intermediate complex (DMSP–Enzyme CoASH), which can be employed in two alternative ways. In 'Alternative A', the DMSP enzyme intermediate is directly hydrated. Subsequently, CoA attacks to release the 3HP-CoA product. According to this model, both the transferase and lyase occur within the Asp602 site. In 'Alternative B', DMSP-CoA is generated first and then hydrated (Alcolombri et al., 2014). The mechanism of DMSP cleavage by DddD is still unclear.

DMSP lyase DddL. dddL gene specifies a product DddL with no close similarity to any polypeptide or domain with known function and is mainly found in the Rhodobacteraceae family of Alphaproteobacteria (Curson *et al.*, 2008). DddL is a true cupin DMSP lyase, and its specific activity (70 units) is upto 10-fold higher than DddK and DddW and is well above 1000-fold higher than that of DddQ (Lei *et al.*, 2018). Structural studies on DMSP lyase DddL have not yet been reported.

DMSP lyase DddW. DMSP lyase DddW, encoded by dddW gene, cleaves DMSP into acrylate and DMS. SPO0454 gene encoding a lysR-type transcriptional regulator, is reported to regulate dddW (Rinta-Kanto et al., 2011; Todd et al., 2012). In addition, SPO0454 is reported to be auto-regulatory (Maddocks and Oyston, 2008). DMSP lyase DddW is uncommon among the deduced proteomes of Roseobacters and other marine bacteria (Newton et al., 2010), and there are no very close DddW homologues in marine metagenomic sequences, most notably those in the GOS (Rusch et al., 2007). DddW is a dimeric protein, and its secondary structure is determined by circular dichroism, which is primarily a β -sheet as seen in cupin superfamily proteins such as DMSP lyase DddQ (Dunwell et al., 2001; Li et al., 2014). It accommodates various metal ions, but it favours iron binding preferentially. Stoichiometry work suggested that DMSP lyase DddW needs one Fe(II) per monomer. The residues, namely H81, H83, E87 and H121, are present within the cupin domain of DddW that is anticipated to form the metal-binding active site. The mechanism of DddW-catalyzed reaction for DMSP cleavage has been proposed. According to this, DddW recognizes Fe(II) cofactor to which the substrate DMSP can coordinates in either monodentate or bidentate fashions. His81 acts as a nucleophile to eliminate a hydrogen atom from the α-carbon of DMSP to generate acrylate. A hypothetical water molecule can be triggered by His81, which then acts as a nucleophile in starting catalysis. Tyr89 situated near the active site commences the elimination reaction cleaving DMSP (Brummett et al., 2015).

DMSP lvase DddX. The DMSP lvase DddX has recently been identified in Psychrobacter sp. D2 isolated from Antarctic samples and is an ATP-dependent DMSP lyase that can catalyse DMSP degradation to DMS and acryloyl-CoA. It belongs to the acyl-CoA synthetase (ACD) superfamily and is found in several Alphaproteobacteria (Pelagicola sp. LXJ1103), Gammaproteobacteria (Psychrobacter sp. P11G5; Marinobacterium jannaschii), and Firmicutes (Sporosarcina sp. P33). The crystal structure of DddX in complex with ATP has been solved. There are four DddX monomers arranged as a tetramer in an asymmetric unit. Each DddX monomer possesses a CoA-binding domain and an ATP-grasp domain. The molecular mechanism of DddX catalysis on DMSP has been proposed. Firstly, His292 is phosphorylated by ATP, forming phosphohistidine. Next, the phosphoryl group is transferred from phosphohistidine to the DMSP molecule to generate DMSP-phosphate, which is subsequently attacked by CoA to form DMSP-CoA Intermediate. Then, residue Glu432 acts as a general base to attack DMSP-CoA. Finally, acrylovl-CoA and DMS are generated and released from the catalytic pocket of DddX (Li et al., 2021).

DMSP lyase Alma1. Alma1 gene, which encodes Alma1 enzyme, was identified and characterized from bloomforming algae Emiliania huxleyi (Ehux) (Alcolombri et al., 2015). Alma1 lyase is a homotetramer, belongs to the Asp/Glu/hydantoin racemase superfamily (Glavas and Tanner, 2001) and forms DMS and acrylate from DMSP like most bacterial DMSP lyases. It is evolutionary and mechanistically different from previously identified marine bacterial DMSP lyases (Reisch et al., 2011; Moran et al., 2012). The racemase superfamily catalyses the abstraction and/or addition of a proton from a carbon next to a carboxylate. According to this, Alma1 lyase catalyses proton abstraction at the same site leading to β-elimination. Finally, DMS and acrylate are released (Alcolombri et al., 2015). Based on protein sequence similarity, orthologues of Alma1 and its paralogues from E. huxleyi are found in a broad range of eukaryotes, including haptophyte, dinoflagellates, corals and some bacteria (Yost and Mitchelmore, 2009; Alcolombri et al., 2015). Recently, it has been reported that Alma1 is the most duplicated gene in Acropora coral ancestor (Shinzato et al., 2021). There are seven Alma1 paralogues within the E. huxlevi genome, and four clades (A, B, C and D) of Alma paralogues have been reported (Alcolombri et al., 2015). Recently, DMSP lyase Sym-Alma from a coral symbiont, the dinoflagellate Symbiodinum-A1 (Sym), was reported, and it shows 45% amino acid similarity to Ehux-Alma1. The Ehux-Alma1 is not inhibited by ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA), whereas Sym-Alma is inhibited by it and regains its activity in the presence of Ca^{2+} or Mn^{2+} ions but not with Zn^{2+} or Mq^{2+}

(Alcolombri *et al.*, 2017). Overall, the structure and function of DMSP-degrading enzymes mentioned above are summarized in Table 3.

Oxidation pathway. In the oxidation pathway, DMSP is oxidized to dimethylsulfoxonium propionate (DMSOP), which is further metabolized to dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and acrylate; however, enzymes involved in this pathway are unknown (Fig. 3) (Thume *et al.*, 2018).

MeSH removal, DMSO reduction and DMS oxidation. MeSH can be modified by two pathways - MeSH Smethylase MddA or the MeSH oxidase MTO. The MTO enzyme, present in Thiobacillus, Hyphomicrobium and Rhodococcus species, oxidizes MeSH to generate formaldehyde (Suylen et al., 1987; Gould and Kanagawa, 1992; Kim et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2002; Evice et al., 2018). MddA enzyme is reported in Pseudomonas deceptionensis M1^T (Carrion et al., 2015; Carrion et al., 2017), and it is also found in many different aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, including cyanobacteria, and is known to methylate MeSH to produce DMS through a DMSP-independent pathway (Carrion et al., 2015). DMS is also produced through an alternate DMSP-independent pathway by the reduction of DMSO through DMSO reductase (DMSOR) enzyme reported in a few marine heterotrophic bacteria and in some bacteria found in anaerobic environments such as freshwater sediments (Griebler, 1997; Kappler and Schäfer, 2014). In bacteria, three key DMS-oxidizing enzymes - DMS dehydrogenase (DdhA), trimethylamine monooxygenase (Tmm), and DMS mono-oxygenase enzyme (DmoA) have been identified. DMS is oxidized by DdhA to yield DMSO in Rhodovulum sulfidophilum (McDevitt et al., 2002), or through Tmm in various Roseobacters and particularly SAR11 bacteria (Chen et al., 2011; Lidbury et al., 2016). Besides, there are some Alpha- and Beta-proteobacteria and Actinobacteria, which oxidize DMS by DmoA to yield MeSH (Visscher and Taylor, 1993; Borodina et al., 2000; Boden et al., 2011) (Fig. 4).

Genome context analysis of genes involved in DMSP production and catabolism

The genome context gives significant information about the enzymatic activity of DMSP lyase genes. The genome neighbourhoods of *dddD* gene are represented by proximal *dddB* and *dddC* genes, encoding an iron-containing dehydrogenase and a methyl-malonate semi-aldehyde dehydrogenase-like protein, respectively (Fig. S3B) (Todd *et al.*, 2007; Curson *et al.*, 2011a; Lei *et al.*, 2018). 3-Hydroxypropionate-CoA produced by DddD enzyme is transformed into malonate semi-aldehyde by DddB and later to acetyl-CoA by DddC (Curson *et al.*, 2011a). The putative acrylate using genes are present repetitively in Table 3. Structural determination and features of the enzymes involved in DMSP catabolism.

Protein	Species	k_{cat}/K_{M} (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	Crystall- ization	Cofactor	Key amino acid residue	PDB code	References
DMSP der	nethylation pathway						
DmdA	Pelagibacter ubique	618	Yes	THF	NA	3TFH	Reisch <i>et al</i> . (2008) and Schuller <i>et al</i> . (2012)
DmdB	Ruegeria lacuscaerulensis ITI_1157	NA	Yes	ATP HS-CoA	NA	6IHK/6IJB	Shao et al. (2019)
DmdC	Roseovarius nubinhibens ISM	NA	Yes	FAD	NA	6IJC	Shao et al. (2019)
DmdD	Ruegeria pomeroyi	$5 imes 10^6$	Yes	NAD	NA	4IZB	Tan <i>et al</i> . (2013)
DMSP clea	avage pathway						
DddP	R. lacuscaerulensis ITI_1157	NA	Yes	Fe ³⁺	Asp377	4RZY	Todd et al. (2009), Kirkwood et al. (2010a), and Wang et al. (2015)
DddQ	R. lacuscaerulensis ITI_1157	0.27	Yes	Zn ²⁺ or Fe ³⁺	Tyr131 or Tyr120	4LA2 5JSO	Todd <i>et al.</i> (2011), Li <i>et al.</i> (2014), Brummett and Dey (2016), and Lei <i>et al.</i> (2018)
DddY	Acinetobacter bereziniae	$1.66 imes 10^6$	Yes	Zn ²⁺	Tyr271	5XKX	de Souza and Yoch (1995) and Li <i>et al.</i> (2017)
DddX	Psychrobacter sp. D2	$1.6 imes 10^3$	Yes	CoA	Glu432	NA	Li et al. (2021)
DddK	P. ubique	608	Yes	Ni ²⁺ , Fe ²⁺ , Zn ²⁺	Tyr64	5TFZ	Sun et al. (2016), and Schnicker et al. (2017)
DddD	Marinomonas sp. MWYL1	318	No	Acetyl CoA	NA	NA	(Alcolombri et al., 2014)
DddL	Thioclava pacific	NA	No	Mn ²⁺	NA	NA	Curson <i>et al</i> . (2008) and Lei <i>et al</i> . (2018)
DddW	R. pomeroyi DSS3	$2.10 imes 10^3$	No	Fe ²⁺ or Mn ²⁺	NA	NA	Todd <i>et al.</i> (2012), and Brummett <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Alma1	Emiliania huxleyi	$0.8 imes 10^5$	No	Metal-independent	NA	NA	Alcolombri et al. (2015)

NA, Data not available/yet to be characterized.

dddY's genome neighbourhoods (Fig. S3C). Undoubtedly, Desulfovibrio acrylicus DddY (DaDddY) converts acrylate into propionate (van der Maarel et al., 1996; Curson et al., 2011b); and A. faecalis M3A strain that possesses AfDddY transforms the acrylate into 3-hydroxypropionate (Ansede et al., 1999). Nonetheless, the genome context is not conserved in DddL, and an acul-like zinc-containing reductase is located adjacent to DddL in 4 out of 15 genomes (Fig. S3D) (Lei et al., 2018). In case of *dddK* genes in the genomes of SAR11, the closeness of enoyl-ACP-reductase, *β*-ketoacyl-ACPsynthase and β -hydroxydecanoyl-ACP dehydratase shows a relation to fatty acid or polyketide biosynthesis (Fig. S3E) (Massengo-Tiasse and Cronan, 2009; Sun et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2018). In case of dddW gene, the proximal D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase gene indicates a gene cluster that is participating in bacterial peptidoglycan synthesis (Fig. S3F). The highly conserved genome neighbourhood of *dddQ* involves a putative mandelate racemase-like protein and a putative dimethylglycine dehydrogenase. These neighbours of dddQ indicate that DddQ participates in the degradation of proline-betaine and/or hydroxyproline-betaine. Thus, its DMSP lyase activity is expected to be promiscuous (Lei et al., 2018) (Fig. S3A). In Psychrobacter sp. D2, dddT, dddB and dddC are clustered with the DMSP lyase gene dddX (Fig. S4; Li et al., 2021). Gene neighbourhoods of dmdA in selected bacterial strains are shown in Fig. S4. In many bacteria, the dsyB gene is located adjacent to genes with no related reported function of DMSP. In some Rhodobacterales strains, dsyB is closely associated to a isc/suf gene cluster, encode proteins involved in Fe–S cluster assembly. In Rhizobiales bacterium HL-109, dsyBis downstream of two genes encoding peroxiredoxins (Fig.S5) (Curson *et al.*, 2018). Aminotransferase, dehydrogenase and decarboxylase are located adjacent to *mmtN* in many marine bacterial genomes (Fig.S6) (Williams *et al.*, 2019).

Regulation of cleavage/demethylation in *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3

The water-column concentration of DMSP has been hypothesized to be a key factor regulating the choice of degradation pathway by bacteria (DMSP Availability Hypothesis) and it has been speculated that bacteria regulate the fate of sulfur from DMSP by adjusting the relative expression of the demethylation and cleavage pathways (bacterial switch hypothesis) (Kiene *et al.*, 2000; Simó, 2001). Recently, single-cell measurements of the expression of demethylation and cleavage pathways using engineered fluorescent reporter strains of *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3 showed that external DMSP concentration induces an upregulation of both pathways, but only at high concentrations (>1 μ M for demethylation; >35 nM for cleavage), characteristic of microscale





Fig. 4. Microbial biosynthesis and cycling of DMSP and DMS. AcuH, acryloyl-CoA hydratase; AlmA1, DMSP lyase; CCN, cloud condensation nuclei; Ddds, various DMSP lyases; DdhA, dimethylsulfide dehydrogenase; DmdA, DMSP demethylase; DmdB, MMPA-CoA ligase; DmdC, MMPA-CoA dehydrogenase; DmdD, methylthioacryloyl-CoA hydratase; DmoA, dimethylsulfide monooxgenase; DMS, dimethylsulfide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DMSOR, dimethyl sulfoxide reductase; DMSP, dimethylsulfoniopropionate; DMSOP, dimethylsulfoxonium propionate; DsyB, DSYB; MddA, MeSH S-methyltransferase; MegL, Methionine γ-lyase; MeSH, methanethiol; MMPA, methylmercaptopropionate; MmtN, Metmethylating enzymes; MTO, MeSH oxidase; Tmm, trimethylamine monooxygenase; TpMMT, methylthiohydroxybutryrate SAM-dependent methyltransferase.

hotspots such as the vicinity of phytoplankton cells. Rather than expressing only one pathway at any given DMSP concentration, it has been observed that bacteria regulate both pathways in concert but adjust the ratio of cleavage and demethylation according to DMSP concentration (Gao *et al.*, 2020).

DMSP uptake

Prokaryotic phytoplankton from the groups *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, as well as some eukaryotic phytoplankton from the dinoflagellates, cryptophytes and diatoms groups, and heterotrophic bacteria have been shown to take up dissolved DMSP (Vila-Costa *et al.*, 2006; Spielmeyer *et al.*,

2011; Ruiz-González et al., 2012; Petrou and Nielsen, 2018). However, to date, the proportion and magnitude of DMSP taken up by phytoplankton in natural communities remain unclear. Recently, the uptake of DMSP by different fractions of marine microbial communities between the two sites (outer site and inner site) within Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Australia shows that both non-DMSP producing phytoplankton and bacteria can uptake DMSP from natural reef waters over short time scales. Specifically, DMSP enrichment showed the dominant sink for DMSP was taxa from the largest microbial fraction (>8 um). It was proposed that the dinoflagellates accounted for the high proportion of particulate DMSP in the >8 µm fraction, but that the major taxa accountable for the uptake of additional DMSP in the +DMSP treatment be attributed to the diatoms, which accumulated DMSP in high concentrations (Petrou and Nielsen, 2018; Fernandez et al., 2021). At the outer reef site, the absence of diatoms suggested that other large non-DMSP producing phytoplankton might act as DMSP sinks, but further work is needed to confirm uptake and identify these groups. Longer-term incubations revealed, however, that DMSP retention was short-lived (<24 h) and microbial responses to DMSP enrichment varied between the two sites within GBR. For bacterial fractions, it was suggested that at the inner reef site sulfur and carbon demands were largely met by existing DMSP availability and lyase activity dominating the conversion of DMSP to DMS. On the other hand, communities from the outer reef were sulfur and carbon limited, and it was presumed that any DMSP in the outer reef was being converted to MeSH or lost from the system via oxidation when taken in the context of the low DLA (DMSP lyase activity) rates measured (Fernandez et al., 2021). At both sites, the most abundant DMSP degrading pathway was demethylation, represented by the gene DmdA (Dall and A1) and DddP lyase was approximately twice as abundant in the bacterial population of the inner reef in comparison to the outer reef. Among the bacterioplankton, the groups most likely to demethylate DMSP in these water were SAR11 and members of the Rhodobacterales (Howard et al., 2008; Fernandez et al., 2021). However, as SAR11 were more abundant than Rhodobacterales at both reef sites, it has been speculated that they may form the dominant DMSP consumer in the surface waters of the GBR. Currently, it has been hypothesized that the phytoplankton DMSP lyase activity measure in these GBR waters is likely attributable to the high DMSPproducing dinoflagellates at both sites (Fernandez et al., 2021).

Structurally, DMSP is a zwitterion and this charge means that it cannot cross cell membranes without a specific

transporter (Kiene et al., 1998). There are two main families of the transporter that are known to be used by the bacteria Roseobacter. SAR11 clade bacteria. cvanobacteria, and also phytoplankton (Dickschat et al., 2015) to transport DMSP into the cell for use and catabolism. One of the transporter types proposed to be utilized by DMSP is the betaine choline carnitine transporter (BCCT) (Ziegler et al., 2010), which are associated with dddD and several other catabolic genes within various species (Curson et al., 2011a). These transporters exist almost ubiquitously in microorganisms, and, as the name suggests, are known to transport glycine betaine across the membrane in species such as Escherichia coli (Dickschat et al., 2015). The nomenclature and amino acid sequences of BCCT transporters vary between species, ranging from CaiT in E. coli to BetP in Corynebacterium glutamicum (Sun et al., 2012), and DddT in both Marinomonas (Todd et al., 2007) and Halomonas HTNK1 (Todd et al., 2010). The marine halophile Vibrio parahaemolyticus contains four BCCT carriers (BccT1 to BccT4). It is indicated that BccT1 and BccT2 are carriers of DMSP in V. parahaemolyticus, whereas BccT3 and BccT4 do not play a significant role in DMSP transport in V. parahaemolyticus (Gregory et al., 2020). Of the four additional Vibrio species that used DMSP as an osmolyte, V. harvevi and V. fluvialis contain homologues of BccT1 and BccT2 while V. vulnificus and V. cholerae can utilize DMSP as an osmolyte and contained only a BccT3 homologue. In V. vulnificus strains, an additional BCCT family transporter, named BccT5, was also a carrier for DMSP (Gregory et al., 2020). The second transporter family found to carry DMSP across the membrane is the ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter, a commonly used primary transporter that can be found in all three domains of life (Eitinger et al., 2011). More recently, the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus was shown to utilize DMSP as an osmoprotectant by uptake via the ABC family transporters OpuC and OpuF (Broy et al., 2015; Teichmann et al., 2018). Currently, the Clostridioides difficile CDIF630erm_01020/01021 operon clearly encodes a compatible solute transporter OpuF. This ABC transporter preferentially transports homobetaine, proline betaine, DMSP, y-butyrobetaine and glycine betaine (Michel et al., 2022). Another example of this type of transport is the DMSP transporter encoded for by the potABCD genes in Burkholderia ambifaria (Dickschat et al., 2015). The genes encoding many of these ABC transporters, like the BCCT transporters, have been linked to the dddD gene in multiple species (Sun et al., 2012).

Concluding remarks

DMSP and DMS play crucial role in driving the global sulfur cycle and may influence local weather. DMSP

synthesis and degradation have been reported in both marine prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Research in exploring DMSP synthesis and catabolism by various DMSP lyases is still ongoing. The global distribution pattern of DMSP and DMS, the known genes for biosynthesis and cleavage of DMSP, and the physiological and ecological functions of these important organosulfur molecules have been reviewed (Zhang et al., 2019). Various sulfur metabolites, including DMSP release and uptake from the dissolved organic matter pool by marine microorganisms, and the ecological links facilitated by their diversity in structures, oxidation states and chemistry have been recently reviewed (Moran and Durham, 2019). However, this article will enhance our knowledge about the DMSP biosynthesis and catabolism in various organism particularly the genes and mechanism of action of several corresponding enzymes involved. The recent reports on DMSP synthesis enzymes DsyB in marine Alphaproteobacteria L. aggregata LZB033, DSYB in many phytoplankton and corals, TpMMT in the diatom and MmtN in the Τ. pseudonana bacterium Novosphingobium BW1 suggest the presence of different enzymes involved in DMSP biosynthesis in different group of marine organisms. Further the molecular mechanisms involved in DMSP synthesis in DMSP-producing organisms that lack dsyB/mmtN or DSYB/TpMT2 are to be explored in detail for in-depth understanding of the molecular 'pathway'. Moreover, it is imperative to study DMSP production across a wide range of sea environments ranging from deep-sea sediments to pelagic zones. Recently, it has been reported that many other Gram-positive actinobacteria can make DMS from DMSP but lack known DMSP lyase genes (Liu et al., 2018). Thus, there is still more biodiversity involved in microbial DMSP lyases, which needs to be uncovered. Many marine bacteria, especially Roseobacters, are reported to metabolize DMSP via more than one pathway. For instance, R. pomerovi DSS-3 contains both the demethvlation and the lysis pathway. Moreover, it possesses multiple DMSP lyases (DddP, DddQ and DddW). Why some bacteria have evolved multiple DMSP utilization pathways and some bacteria only possess one pathway awaits further investigation. The functions of DMSP and DMS in marine microorganisms have to be verified at the molecular and genetic levels. The crystal structure and mechanism of DMSP cleavage of some DMSP lyase enzymes such as DddD, DddL, DddW and Alma1 are yet to be solved.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi, and the M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation (MSSRF), Chennai, India.

Author contributions

D.S. conceptualized and drafted the manuscript. Both authors J.S. and P.V.R. read, edited, and approved the manuscript.

References

- Alcolombri, U., Ben-Dor, S., Feldmesser, E., Levin, Y., Tawfik, D.S., and Vardi, A. (2015) Identification of the algal dimethyl sulfide–releasing enzyme: a missing link in the marine sulfur cycle. *Science* **348**: 1466–1469.
- Alcolombri, U., Laurino, P., Lara-Astiaso, P., Vardi, A., and Tawfik, D.S. (2014) DddD is a CoA-transferase/lyase producing dimethyl sulfide in the marine environment. *Biochemistry* 53: 5473–5475.
- Alcolombri, U., Lei, L., Meltzer, D., Vardi, A., and Tawfik, D. S. (2017) Assigning the algal source of dimethylsulfide using a selective lyase inhibitor. ACS Chem Biol 12: 41–46.
- Andreae, M.O. (1990) Ocean-atmosphere interactions in the global biogeochemical sulfur cycle. *Mar Chem* **30**: 1–29.
- Ansede, J.H., Friedman, R., and Yoch, D.C. (2001) Phylogenetic analysis of culturable dimethyl sulfide-producing bacteria from a spartina-dominated salt marsh and estuarine water. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **67**: 1210–1217.
- Ansede, J.H., Pellechia, P.J., and Yoch, D.C. (1999) Metabolism of acrylate to beta-hydroxypropionate and its role in dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase induction by a salt marsh sediment bacterium, *Alcaligenes faecalis* M3A. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**: 5075–5081.
- Archer, S.D., Widdicombe, C.E., Tarran, G.A., Rees, A.P., and Burkill, P.H. (2001) Production and turnover of particulate dimethylsulphoniopropionate during a coccolithophore bloom in the northern North Sea. Aquat Microb Ecol 24: 225–241.
- Arnold, K., Bordoli, L., Kopp, J., and Schwede, T. (2006) The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. *Bioinformatics* 22: 195–201.
- Ausma, T., Kebert, M., Stefels, J., and De Kok, L.J. (2017) DMSP: occurrence in plants and response to salinity in Zea mays. In Sulfur Metabolism in Higher Plants - Fundamental, Environmental and Agricultural Aspects, De Kok, L., Hawkesford, M., Haneklaus, S., and Schnug, E. (eds). Switzerland: Springer, pp. 87–91.
- Belviso, S., Christaki, U., Vidussi, F., Marty, J.-C., Vila, M., and Delgado, M. (2000) Diel variations of the DMSP-tochlorophyll a ratio in northwestern Mediterranean surface waters. *J Mar Syst* 25: 119–128.
- Boden, R., Borodina, E., Wood, A.P., Kelly, D.P., Murrell, J. C., and Schafer, H. (2011) Purification and characterization of dimethylsulfide monooxygenase from *Hyphomicrobium sulfonivorans*. J Bacteriol **193**: 1250– 1258.
- Borodina, E., Kelly, D.P., Rainey, F.A., Ward-Rainey, N.L., and Wood, A.P. (2000) Dimethylsulfone as a growth substrate for novel methylotrophic species of *Hyphomicrobium* and *Arthrobacter*. *Arch Microbiol* **173**: 425–437.

- Broy, S., Chen, C., Hoffmann, T., Brock, N.L., Nau-Wagner, G., Jebbar, M., *et al.* (2015) Abiotic stress protection by ecologically abundant dimethylsulfoniopropionate and its natural and synthetic derivatives: insights from *Bacillus subtilis*. *Environ Microbiol* **17**: 2362–2378.
- Brummett, A.E., and Dey, M. (2016) New mechanistic insight from substrate- and product-bound structures of the metaldependent dimethylsulfoniopropionate Lyase DddQ. *Biochemistry* **55**: 6162–6174.
- Brummett, A.E., Schnicker, N.J., Crider, A., Todd, J.D., and Dey, M. (2015) Biochemical, kinetic, and spectroscopic characterization of *Ruegeria pomeroyi* DddW-A mononuclear iron-dependent DMSP lyase. *PLoS ONE* **10**: e0127288.
- Bullock, H.A., Luo, H., and Whitman, W.B. (2017) Evolution of dimethylsulfoniopropionate metabolism in marine phytoplankton and bacteria. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 637.
- Bürgmann, H., Howard, E.C., Ye, W., Sun, F., Sun, S., Napierala, S., and Moran, M.A. (2007) Transcriptional response of *Silicibacter pomeroyi* DSS 3 to dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 2742–2755.
- Carrion, O., Curson, A.R.J., Kumaresan, D., Fu, Y., Lang, A. S., Mercade, E., and Todd, J.D. (2015) A novel pathway producing dimethylsulphide in bacteria is widespread in soil environments. *Nat Commun* **6**: 6579.
- Carrion, O., Pratscher, J., Curson, A.R.J., Williams, B.T., Rostant, W.G., Murrell, J.C., and Todd, J.D. (2017) Methanethiol-dependent dimethylsulfide production in soil environments. *ISME J* **11**: 2379–2390.
- Caruana, A. (2010) *DMS and DMSP Production by Marine Dinoflagellates*. UK: University of East Anglia.
- Caruana, A.M., Le Gac, M., Hervé, F., Rovillon, G.-A., Geffroy, S., Malo, F., et al. (2020) Alexandrium pacificum and Alexandrium minutum: harmful or environmentally friendly? Mar Environ Res **160**: 105014.
- Caruana, A.M.N., and Malin, G. (2014) The variability in DMSP content and DMSP lyase activity in marine dinoflagellates. *Prog Oceanogr* **120**: 410–424.
- Challenger, F., and Simpson, M.I. (1948) Studies on biological methylation; a precursor of the dimethyl sulphide evolved by *Polysiphonia fastigiata*; dimethyl-2-carboxyethylsulphonium hydroxide and its salts. *J Chem Soc* **3**: 1591–1597.
- Charlson, R.J., Lovelock, J.E., Andreae, M.O., and Warren, S.G. (1987) Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate. *Nature* **326**: 655–661.
- Chen, Y., Patel, N.A., Crombie, A., Scrivens, J.H., and Murrell, J.C. (2011) Bacterial flavin-containing monooxygenase is trimethylamine monooxygenase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 17791–17796.
- Choi, D.H., Park, K.T., An, S.M., Lee, K., Cho, J.C., Lee, J. H., *et al.* (2015) Pyrosequencing revealed SAR116 clade as dominant dddP-containing bacteria in oligotrophic NW Pacific Ocean. *PLoS One* **10**: e0116271.
- Corn, M., Belviso, S., Partensky, F., Simon, N., and Christaki, U. (1996) Origin and importance of picoplanktonic DMSP. In *Biological and Environmental Chemistry of DMSP and Related Sulfonium Compounds*. Boston, MA: Springer, pp. 191–201.

- Cui, Y., Suzuki, S., Omori, Y., Wong, S.-K., Ijichi, M., Kaneko, R., et al. (2015) Abundance and distribution of dimethylsulfoniopropionate degradation genes and the corresponding bacterial community structure at dimethyl sulfide hot spots in the tropical and subtropical pacific ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol 81: 4184–4194.
- Cui, Y., Wong, S.-K., Kaneko, R., Mouri, A., Tada, Y., Nagao, I., et al. (2020) Distribution of dimethylsulfoniopropionate degradation genes reflects strong water current dependencies in the Sanriku coastal region in Japan: from mesocosm to field study. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 1372.
- Curson, A.R., Fowler, E.K., Dickens, S., Johnston, A.W., and Todd, J.D. (2012) Multiple DMSP lyases in the γ-proteobacterium *Oceanimonas doudoroffii. Biogeochemistry* **110**: 109–119.
- Curson, A.R., Liu, J., Bermejo Martinez, A., Green, R.T., Chan, Y., Carrion, O., *et al.* (2017) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in marine bacteria and identification of the key gene in this process. *Nat Microbiol* **2**: 17009.
- Curson, A.R., Rogers, R., Todd, J.D., Brearley, C.A., and Johnston, A.W. (2008) Molecular genetic analysis of a dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase that liberates the climate-changing gas dimethylsulfide in several marine alpha-proteobacteria and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Envi ron Microbiol* **10**: 757–767.
- Curson, A.R., Sullivan, M.J., Todd, J.D., and Johnston, A.W. (2010) Identification of genes for dimethyl sulfide production in bacteria in the gut of Atlantic Herring (Clupea harengus). *ISME J* **4**: 144–146.
- Curson, A.R., Sullivan, M.J., Todd, J.D., and Johnston, A.W. (2011b) DddY, a periplasmic dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase found in taxonomically diverse species of Proteobacteria. *ISME J* **5**: 1191–1200.
- Curson, A.R., Todd, J.D., Sullivan, M.J., and Johnston, A.W. (2011a) Catabolism of dimethylsulphoniopropionate: microorganisms, enzymes and genes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**: 849–859.
- Curson, A.R.J., Williams, B.T., Pinchbeck, B.J., Sims, L.P., Martinez, A.B., Rivera, P.P.L., *et al.* (2018) DSYB catalyses the key step of dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in many phytoplankton. *Nat Microbiol* **3**: 430–439.
- Dacey, J.W., and Wakeham, S.G. (1986) Oceanic dimethylsulfide: production during zooplankton grazing on phytoplankton. *Science* **233**: 1314–1316.
- de Souza, M.P., and Yoch, D.C. (1995) Purification and characterization of dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase from an alcaligenes-like dimethyl sulfide-producing marine isolate. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **61**: 21–26.
- DeBose, J.L., Lema, S.C., and Nevitt, G.A. (2008) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate as a foraging cue for reef fishes. *Science* **319**: 1356.
- Deschaseaux, E.S., Jones, G.B., Deseo, M.A., Shepherd, K. M., Kiene, R., Swan, H., et al. (2014) Effects of environmental factors on dimethylated sulfur compounds and their potential role in the antioxidant system of the coral holobiont. *Limnol Oceanogr* **59**: 758–768.
- Dey, M. (2017) Enzymology of microbial dimethylsulfoniopropionate catabolism. *Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol* **109**: 195–222.

- Dickschat, J.S., Rabe, P., and Citron, C.A. (2015) The chemical biology of dimethylsulfoniopropionate. *Org Biomol Chem* **13**: 1954–1968.
- Dunwell, J.M. (1998) Cupins: a new superfamily of functionally diverse proteins that include germins and plant storage proteins. *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev* 15: 1–32.
- Dunwell, J.M., Culham, A., Carter, C.E., Sosa-Aguirre, C.R., and Goodenough, P.W. (2001) Evolution of functional diversity in the cupin superfamily. *Trends Biochem Sci* 26: 740–746.
- Dunwell, J.M., Purvis, A., and Khuri, S. (2004) Cupins: the most functionally diverse protein superfamily? *Phytochemistry* 65: 7–17.
- Eitinger, T., Rodionov, D.A., Grote, M., and Schneider, E. (2011) Canonical and ECF-type ATP-binding cassette importers in prokaryotes: diversity in modular organization and cellular functions. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **35**: 3–67.
- Eyice, O., Myronova, N., Pol, A., Carrion, O., Todd, J.D., Smith, T.J., *et al.* (2018) Bacterial SBP56 identified as a Cu-dependent methanethiol oxidase widely distributed in the biosphere. *ISME J* **12**: 145–160.
- Fernandez, E., Ostrowski, M., Siboni, N., Seymour, J.R., and Petrou, K. (2021) Uptake of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) by natural microbial communities of the great barrier reef (GBR) Australia. *Microorganisms* **9**: 1891.
- Fischer, E., and Jones, G. (2021) Dimethylsulphoniopropionate as a water quality indicator of coral bleaching: implications for monitoring studies on the Great Barrier Reef. *Mar Pollut Bull* **164**: 112081.
- Gage, D.A., Rhodes, D., Nolte, K.D., Hicks, W.A., Leustek, T., Cooper, A.J., and Hanson, A.D. (1997) A new route for synthesis of dimethylsulphoniopropionate in marine algae. *Nature* 387: 891–894.
- Galí, M., Devred, E., Levasseur, M., Royer, S.-J., and Babin, M. (2015) A remote sensing algorithm for planktonic dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and an analysis of global patterns. *Remote Sens Environ* **171**: 171–184.
- Gao, C., Fernandez, V.I., Lee, K.S., Fenizia, S., Pohnert, G., Seymour, J.R., et al. (2020) Single-cell bacterial transcription measurements reveal the importance of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) hotspots in ocean sulfur cycling. Nat Commun 11: 1–11.
- Garren, M., Son, K., Raina, J.-B., Rusconi, R., Menolascina, F., Shapiro, O.H., *et al.* (2014) A bacterial pathogen uses dimethylsulfoniopropionate as a cue to target heat-stressed corals. *ISME J* 8: 999–1007.
- Glavas, S., and Tanner, M.E. (2001) Active site residues of glutamate racemase. *Biochemistry* **40**: 6199–6204.
- Gomez-Ortiz, M., Gomis-Ruth, F.X., Huber, R., and Aviles, F.X. (1997) Inhibition of carboxypeptidase a by excess zinc: analysis of the structural determinants by Xray crystallography. *FEBS Lett* **400**: 336–340.
- Gonzalez, J.M., Covert, J.S., Whitman, W.B., Henriksen, J. R., Mayer, F., Scharf, B., et al. (2003) Silicibacter pomeroyi sp. nov. and Roseovarius nubinhibens sp. nov., dimethylsulfoniopropionate-demethylating bacteria from marine environments. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53: 1261– 1269.
- González, J.M., Hernández, L., Manzano, I., and Pedrós-Alió, C. (2019) Functional annotation of orthologs in metagenomes: a case study of genes for the

transformation of oceanic dimethylsulfoniopropionate. ISME J 13: 1183–1197.

- González, J.M., Kiene, R.P., and Moran, M.A. (1999) Transformation of sulfur compounds by an abundant lineage of marine bacteria in the α-subclass of the class proteobacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**: 3810–3819.
- Gould, W.D., and Kanagawa, T. (1992) Purification and properties of methyl mercaptan oxidase from *Thiobacillus thioparus* TK-m. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**: 217–221.
- Gregory, G.J., Boas, K.E., and Boyd, E.F. (2020) The organosulfur compound dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) is utilized as an osmoprotectant by *vibrio* species. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **87**: e02235–e02220.
- Griebler, C. (1997) Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) reduction: a new approach to determine microbial activity in freshwater sediments. J Microbiol Methods 29: 31–40.
- Guibert, I., Bourdreux, F., Bonnard, I., Pochon, X., Dubousquet, V., Raharivelomanana, P., *et al.* (2020) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate concentration in coral reef invertebrates varies according to species assemblages. *Sci Rep* **10**: 1–10.
- Gulick, A.M., Lu, X., and Dunaway-Mariano, D. (2004) Crystal structure of 4-chlorobenzoate:CoA ligase/synthetase in the unliganded and aryl substrate-bound states. *Biochemistry* **43**: 8670–8679.
- Hadjioannou, L., Jimenez, C., Rottier, C., Sfenthourakis, S., and Ferrier-Pagès, C. (2019) Response of the temperate scleractinian coral *Cladocora caespitosa* to high temperature and long-term nutrient enrichment. *Sci Rep* 9: 1–11.
- Hanson, A.D., and Gage, D.A. (1996) 3-Dimethylsulfoniopionate biosynthesis and use by flowering plants. In *Biological and Environmental Chemistry of DMSP and Related Sulfonium Compounds*, Kiene, R.P., Visscher, P.T., Keller, M.D., and Kirst, G.O. (eds). Boston, MA: Springer, pp. 75–86.
- Hanson, A.D., Rivoal, J., Paquet, L., and Gage, D.A. (1994)
 Biosynthesis of 3-dimethylsulfoniopropionate in Wollastonia biflora (L.) DC. Evidence that Smethylmethionine is an intermediate. *Plant Physiol* **105**: 103–110.
- Harada, H. (2007) Physiological Roles of Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), DMSP Lyase, Dimethylsulfide (DMS) and Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) in Phytoplankton. Alabama: University of South Alabama.
- Hatton, A.D., Shenoy, D.M., Hart, M.C., Mogg, A., and Green, D.H. (2012) Metabolism of DMSP, DMS and DMSO by the cultivable bacterial community associated with the DMSP-producing dinoflagellate *Scrippsiella trochoidea*. *Biogeochemistry* **110**: 131–146.
- Hatton, A.D., and Wilson, S.T. (2007) Particulate dimethylsulphoxide and dimethylsulphoniopropionate in phytoplankton cultures and Scottish coastal waters. *Aquat Sci* **69**: 330–340.
- Hehemann, J.H., Law, A., Redecke, L., and Boraston, A.B. (2014) The structure of RdDddP from *Roseobacter denitrificans* reveals that DMSP lyases in the DddP-family are metalloenzymes. *PLoS One* **9**: e103128.
- Hernández, L., Vicens, A., Eguiarte, L.E., Souza, V., De Anda, V., and González, J.M. (2020) Evolutionary history of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) demethylation enzyme DmdA in marine bacteria. *PeerJ* 8: e9861.

- Hill, R.W., Dacey, J., and Edward, A. (2000) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate in giant clams (Tridacnidae). *Biol Bull* **199**: 108–115.
- Holland, D.R., Hausrath, A.C., Juers, D., and Matthews, B.
 W. (1995) Structural analysis of zinc substitutions in the active site of thermolysin. *Protein Sci* 4: 1955–1965.
- Holmquist, B., and Vallee, B.L. (1974) Metal substitutions and inhibition of thermolysin: spectra of the cobalt enzyme. *J Biol Chem* **249**: 4601–4607.
- Howard, E.C., Henriksen, J.R., Buchan, A., Reisch, C.R., Burgmann, H., Welsh, R., *et al.* (2006) Bacterial taxa that limit sulfur flux from the ocean. *Science* **314**: 649–652.
- Howard, E.C., Sun, S., Biers, E.J., and Moran, M.A. (2008) Abundant and diverse bacteria involved in DMSP degradation in marine surface waters. *Environ Microbiol* **10**: 2397– 2410.
- Husband, J.D., Kiene, R.P., and Sherman, T.D. (2012) Oxidation of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in response to oxidative stress in *Spartina alterniflora* and protection of a non-DMSP producing grass by exogenous DMSP+ acrylate. *Environ Exp Bot* **79**: 44–48.
- Jean, N., Bogé, G., Jamet, J.-L., Richard, S., and Jamet, D. (2005) Annual contribution of different plankton size classes to particulate dimethylsulfoniopropionate in a marine perturbed ecosystem. *J Mar Syst* **53**: 235–247.
- Johnson, W.M., Kido Soule, M.C., and Kujawinski, E.B. (2016) Evidence for quorum sensing and differential metabolite production by a marine bacterium in response to DMSP. *ISME J* **10**: 2304–2316.
- Johnston, A.W., Green, R.T., and Todd, J.D. (2016) Enzymatic breakage of dimethylsulfoniopropionate-a signature molecule for life at sea. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **31**: 58–65.
- Johnston, A.W., Todd, J.D., Sun, L., Nikolaidou-Katsaridou, M.N., Curson, A.R., and Rogers, R. (2008) Molecular diversity of bacterial production of the climate-changing gas, dimethyl sulphide, a molecule that impinges on local and global symbioses. *J Exp Bot* **59**: 1059–1067.
- Jones, G.B., and King, S. (2015) Dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) as an indicator of bleaching tolerance in scleractinian corals. *J Marine Sci Eng* **3**: 444–465.
- Kageyama, H., Tanaka, Y., Shibata, A., Waditee-Sirisattha, R., and Takabe, T. (2018) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in a diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*: identification of a gene encoding MTHB-methyltransferase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 645: 100–106.
- Kappler, U., and Schäfer, H. (2014) Transformations of dimethylsulfide. In *The Metal-Driven Biogeochemistry of Gaseous Compounds in the Environment*, Kroneck, P., and Torres, M. (eds). Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 279–313.
- Karsten, U., Kück, K., Vogt, C., and Kirst, G. (1996) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate production in phototrophic organisms and its physiological functions as a cryoprotectant. In *Biological and Environmental Chemistry of DMSP and Related Sulfonium Compounds*. Boston, MA: Springer, pp. 143–153.
- Keller, M.D. (1989) Dimethyl sulfide production and marine phytoplankton: the importance of species composition and cell size. *Biological Oceanography* **6**: 375–382.
- Keller, M.D., Bellows, W.K., and Guillard, R.R.L. (1989) Dimethyl sulfide production in marine phytoplankton. In

Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society, pp. 167–182.

- Kettles, N.L., Kopriva, S., and Malin, G. (2014) Insights into the regulation of DMSP synthesis in the diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* through APR activity, proteomics and gene expression analyses on cells acclimating to changes in salinity, light and nitrogen. *PLoS ONE* 9: e94795.
- Kiene, R.P., and Bates, T.S. (1990) Biological removal of dimethyl sulphide from sea water. *Nature* 345: 702–705.
- Kiene, R.P., and Linn, L.J. (2000) The fate of dissolved dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in seawater: tracer studies using 35S-DMSP. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 64: 2797–2810.
- Kiene, R.P., Linn, L.J., and Bruton, J.A. (2000) New and important roles for DMSP in marine microbial communities. *J Sea Res* **43**: 209–224.
- Kiene, R.P., Nowinski, B., Esson, K., Preston, C., Marin, R., III, Birch, J., *et al.* (2019) Unprecedented DMSP concentrations in a massive dinoflagellate bloom in Monterey Bay, CA. *Geophys Res Lett* **46**: 12279–12288.
- Kiene, R.P., Williams, L.P.H., and Walker, J.E. (1998) Seawater microorganisms have a high affinity glycine betaine uptake system which also recognizes dimethylsulfoniopropionate. *Aquat Microb Ecol* **15**: 39–51.
- Kim, J.J., Wang, M., and Paschke, R. (1993) Crystal structures of medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase from pig liver mitochondria with and without substrate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**: 7523–7527.
- Kim, S.-J., Shin, H.-J., Kim, Y.-C., Lee, D.-S., and Yang, J.-W. (2000) Isolation and purification of methyl mercaptan oxidase from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* for mercaptan detection. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng* **5**: 465–468.
- Kirkwood, M., Le Brun, N.E., Todd, J.D., and Johnston, A.W. B. (2010a) The dddP gene of *Roseovarius nubinhibens* encodes a novel lyase that cleaves dimethylsulfoniopropionate into acrylate plus dimethyl sulfide. *Microbiology* **156**: 1900–1906.
- Kirkwood, M., Todd, J.D., Rypien, K.L., and Johnston, A.W. (2010b) The opportunistic coral pathogen *Aspergillus sydowii* contains dddP and makes dimethyl sulfide from dimethylsulfoniopropionate. *ISME J* **4**: 147–150.
- Kirst, G. (1990) Salinity tolerance of eukaryotic marine algae. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **41**: 21–53.
- Kocsis, M.G., Nolte, K.D., Rhodes, D., Shen, T.L., Gage, D. A., and Hanson, A.D. (1998) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in *Spartina alterniflora*: evidence that *S*methylmethionine and dimethylsulfoniopropylamine are intermediates. *Plant Physiol* **117**: 273–281.
- Ksionzek, K.B., Lechtenfeld, O.J., McCallister, S.L., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Geuer, J.K., Geibert, W., and Koch, B.P. (2016) Dissolved organic sulfur in the ocean: biogeochemistry of a petagram inventory. *Science* **354**: 456–459.
- Kuek, F.W., Mujahid, A., Lim, P.-T., Leaw, C.-P., and Mueller, M. (2016) Diversity and DMS (P)-related genes in culturable bacterial communities in Malaysian coastal waters. *Sains Malays.* **45**: 915–931.
- Landa, M., Burns, A.S., Durham, B.P., Esson, K., Nowinski, B., Sharma, S., *et al.* (2019) Sulfur metabolites that facilitate oceanic phytoplankton–bacteria carbon flux. *ISME J* **13**: 2536–2550.
- © 2022 Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Environmental Microbiology

- Larsen, K.S., and Auld, D.S. (1989) Carboxypeptidase a: mechanism of zinc inhibition. *Biochemistry* **28**: 9620– 9625.
- Lee, H.H., Kim, D.J., Ahn, H.J., Ha, J.Y., and Suh, S.W. (2004) Crystal structure of T-protein of the glycine cleavage system. Cofactor binding, insights into H-protein recognition, and molecular basis for understanding nonketotic hyperglycinemia. J Biol Chem 279: 50514–50523.
- Lee, H.-H., Kim, S.-J., Shin, H.-J., Park, J.-Y., and Yang, J.-W. (2002) Purification and characterization of methyl mercaptan oxidase from *Thiobacillus thioparus* for mercaptan detection. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng* 7: 375–379.
- Lei, L., Cherukuri, K.P., Alcolombri, U., Meltzer, D., and Tawfik, D.S. (2018) The Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) lyase and lyase-like cupin family consists of bona fide DMSP lyases as well as other enzymes with unknown function. *Biochemistry* 57: 3364–3377.
- Lesser, M.P. (2006) Oxidative stress in marine environments: biochemistry and physiological ecology. Annu Rev Physiol 68: 253–278.
- Li, C., Hill, R.W., and Jones, A.D. (2010) Determination of betaine metabolites and dimethylsulfoniopropionate in coral tissues using liquid chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry and stable isotope-labeled internal standards. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 878: 1809–1816.
- Li, C.-Y., Wang, X.-J., Chen, X.-L., Sheng, Q., Zhang, S., Wang, P., *et al.* (2021) A novel ATP dependent dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase in bacteria that releases dimethyl sulfide and acryloyl-CoA. *Elife* **10**: e64045.
- Li, C.Y., Wei, T.D., Zhang, S.H., Chen, X.L., Gao, X., Wang, P., et al. (2014) Molecular insight into bacterial cleavage of oceanic dimethylsulfoniopropionate into dimethyl sulfide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: 1026– 1031.
- Li, C.Y., Zhang, D., Chen, X.L., Wang, P., Shi, W.L., Li, P.Y., et al. (2017) Mechanistic insights into dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase DddY, a new member of the cupin superfamily. *J Mol Biol* **429**: 3850–3862.
- Liang, K.Y.H., Orata, F.D., Boucher, Y.F., and Case, R.J. (2021) Roseobacters in a sea of poly- and paraphyly: whole genome-based taxonomy of the family *Rhodobacteraceae* and the proposal for the split of the "Roseobacter clade" Into a novel family *Roseobacteraceae* fam. nov. *Front Microbiol* **12**: 1635.
- Liao, C., and Seebeck, F.P. (2019) In vitro reconstitution of bacterial DMSP biosynthesis. *Angew Chem* **131**: 3591– 3594.
- Lidbury, I., Krober, E., Zhang, Z., Zhu, Y., Murrell, J.C., Chen, Y., and Schafer, H. (2016) A mechanism for bacterial transformation of dimethylsulfide to dimethylsulfoxide: a missing link in the marine organic sulfur cycle. *Environ Microbiol* **18**: 2754–2766.
- Liu, J., Liu, J., Zhang, S.-H., Liang, J., Lin, H., Song, D., et al. (2018) Novel insights into bacterial dimethylsulfoniopropionate catabolism in the East China Sea. *Front Microbiol* **9**: 3206.
- Lyon, B.R., Lee, P.A., Bennett, J.M., DiTullio, G.R., and Janech, M.G. (2011) Proteomic analysis of a sea-ice diatom: salinity acclimation provides new insight into the

dimethylsulfoniopropionate production pathway. *Plant Physiol* **157**: 1926–1941.

- Maddocks, S.E., and Oyston, P.C.F. (2008) Structure and function of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) family proteins. *Microbiology* **154**: 3609–3623.
- Malmstrom, R.R., Kiene, R.P., Cottrell, M.T., and Kirchman, D.L. (2004) Contribution of SAR11 bacteria to dissolved dimethylsulfoniopropionate and amino acid uptake in the North Atlantic ocean. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 4129–4135.
- Massengo-Tiasse, R.P., and Cronan, J.E. (2009) Diversity in enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductases. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 66: 1507–1517.
- Massey, V., and Ghisla, S. (1974) Role of charge-transfer interactions in flavoprotein catalysis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 227: 446–465.
- Matrai, P., and Keller, M. (1994) Total organic sulfur and dimethylsulfoniopropionate in marine phytoplankton: intracellular variations. *Mar Biol* **119**: 61–68.
- McDevitt, C.A., Hanson, G.R., Noble, C.J., Cheesman, M.R., and McEwan, A.G. (2002) Characterization of the redox centers in dimethyl sulfide dehydrogenase from *Rhodovulum sulfidophilum*. *Biochemistry* **41**: 15234– 15244.
- McParland, E.L., Lee, M.D., Webb, E., Alexander, H., and Levine, N.M. (2021) DMSP synthesis genes distinguish two types of DMSP producer phenotypes. *Environ Microbiol* 23: 1656–1669.
- McParland, E.L., and Levine, N.M. (2019) The role of differential DMSP production and community composition in predicting variability of global surface DMSP concentrations. *Limnol Oceanogr* **64**: 757–773.
- McParland, E.L., Wright, A., Art, K., He, M., and Levine, N.
 M. (2020) Evidence for contrasting roles of dimethylsulfoniopropionate production in *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Thalassiosira oceanica*. *New Phytol* 226: 396–409.
- Michel, A.M., Borrero-de Acuña, J.M., Molinari, G., Ünal, C. M., Will, S., Derksen, E., *et al.* (2022) Cellular adaptation of *Clostridioides difficile* to high salinity encompasses a compatible solute responsive change in cell morphology. *Environ Microbiol* **24**: 1499–1517.
- Miller, T.R., and Belas, R. (2004) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate metabolism by Pfiesteria-associated *Roseobacter spp. Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 3383–3391.
- Miller, T.R., Hnilicka, K., Dziedzic, A., Desplats, P., and Belas, R. (2004) Chemotaxis of *Silicibacter sp.* strain TM1040 toward dinoflagellate products. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 4692–4701.
- Moran, M.A., and Durham, B.P. (2019) Sulfur metabolites in the pelagic ocean. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**: 665–678.
- Moran, M.A., Reisch, C.R., Kiene, R.P., and Whitman, W.B. (2012) Genomic insights into bacterial DMSP transformations. Ann Rev Mar Sci 4: 523–542.
- Neave, M.J., Rachmawati, R., Xun, L., Michell, C.T., Bourne, D.G., Apprill, A., and Voolstra, C.R. (2017) Differential specificity between closely related corals and abundant *Endozoicomonas* endosymbionts across global scales. *ISME J* **11**: 186–200.
- Newton, R.J., Griffin, L.E., Bowles, K.M., Meile, C., Gifford, S., Givens, C.E., et al. (2010) Genome

characteristics of a generalist marine bacterial lineage. *ISME J* **4**: 784–798.

- Niki, T., Kunugi, M., and Otsuki, A. (2000) DMSP-lyase activity in five marine phytoplankton species: its potential importance in DMS production. *Mar Biol* **136**: 759–764.
- Nowinski, B., Motard-Côté, J., Landa, M., Preston, C.M., Scholin, C.A., Birch, J.M., *et al.* (2019) Microdiversity and temporal dynamics of marine bacterial dimethylsulfoniopropionate genes. *Environ Microbiol* **21**: 1687–1701.
- Osorio-Rodriguez, D., Razo-Mejia, M., Dalleska, N.F., Sessions, A.L., Orphan, V.J., and Adkins, J.F. (2021) Sulfur isotope fractionations constrain the biological cycling of dimethylsulfoniopropionate in the upper ocean. *Limnol Oceanogr* **66**: 3607–3618.
- Otte, M.L., Wilson, G., Morris, J.T., and Moran, B.M. (2004) Dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) and related compounds in higher plants. *J Exp Bot* **55**: 1919–1925.
- Paquet, L., Rathinasabapathi, B., Saini, H., Zamir, L., Gage, D., Huang, Z., and Hanson, A. (1994) Accumulation of the compatible solute 3-dimethylsulfoniopropionate in sugarcane and its relatives, but not other gramineous crops. *Funct Plant Biol* **21**: 37–48.
- Peng, M., Chen, X.L., Zhang, D., Wang, X.J., Wang, N., Wang, P., *et al.* (2019) Structure-function analysis indicates that an active site water participates in dimethylsulfoniopropionate cleavage by DddK. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **85**: 03127–03118.
- Peng, M., Xie, Q., Hu, H., Hong, K., Todd, J.D., Johnston, A. W., and Li, Y. (2012) Phylogenetic diversity of the dddP gene for dimethylsulfoniopropionate-dependent dimethyl sulfide synthesis in mangrove soils. *Can J Microbiol* 58: 523–530.
- Petrou, K., and Nielsen, D. (2018) Uptake of dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) by the diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii*: a model to investigate the cellular function of DMSP. *Biogeochemistry* **141**: 265–271.
- Pohl, B., Raichle, T., and Ghisla, S. (1986) Studies on the reaction mechanism of general acyl-CoA dehydrogenase. Determination of selective isotope effects in the dehydrogenation of butyryl-CoA. *Eur J Biochem* **160**: 109–115.
- Quinn, P.K., and Bates, T.S. (2011) The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton Sulphur emissions. *Nature* **480**: 51–56.
- Raina, J.B., Clode, P.L., Cheong, S., Bougoure, J., Kilburn, M.R., Reeder, A., *et al.* (2017) Subcellular tracking reveals the location of dimethylsulfoniopropionate in microalgae and visualises its uptake by marine bacteria. *Elife* 6: e23008.
- Raina, J.-B., Dinsdale, E.A., Willis, B.L., and Bourne, D.G. (2010) Do the organic sulfur compounds DMSP and DMS drive coral microbial associations? *Trends Microbiol* **18**: 101–108.
- Raina, J.B., Tapiolas, D., Motti, C.A., Foret, S., Seemann, T., Tebben, J., *et al.* (2016) Isolation of an antimicrobial compound produced by bacteria associated with reef-building corals. *PeerJ* 4: e2275.
- Raina, J.-B., Tapiolas, D., Willis, B.L., and Bourne, D.G. (2009) Coral-associated bacteria and their role in the biogeochemical cycling of sulfur. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 3492–3501.

- Raina, J.B., Tapiolas, D.M., Foret, S., Lutz, A., Abrego, D., Ceh, J., *et al.* (2013) DMSP biosynthesis by an animal and its role in coral thermal stress response. *Nature* 502: 677–680.
- Reed, R. (1983) Measurement and osmotic significance of β-dimethylsulfonio-propionate in marine macroalgae. *Mar Biol Lett* **4**: 173–181.
- Reisch, C.R., Moran, M.A., and Whitman, W.B. (2008) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate-dependent demethylase (DmdA) from *Pelagibacter ubique* and *Silicibacter pomeroyi*. *J Bacteriol* **190**: 8018–8024.
- Reisch, C.R., Moran, M.A., and Whitman, W.B. (2011) Bacterial catabolism of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). *Front Microbiol* **2**: 172.
- Reisch, C.R., Stoudemayer, M.J., Varaljay, V.A., Amster, I. J., Moran, M.A., and Whitman, W.B. (2011b) Novel pathway for assimilation of dimethylsulphoniopropionate widespread in marine bacteria. *Nature* **473**: 208–211.
- Rinta-Kanto, J.M., Burgmann, H., Gifford, S.M., Sun, S., Sharma, S., del Valle, D.A., *et al.* (2011) Analysis of sulfur-related transcription by Roseobacter communities using a taxon-specific functional gene microarray. *Environ Microbiol* **13**: 453–467.
- Ruiz-González, C., Simo, R., Vila-Costa, M., Sommaruga, R., and Gasol, J.M. (2012) Sunlight modulates the relative importance of heterotrophic bacteria and picophytoplankton in DMSP-sulphur uptake. *ISME J* 6: 650–659.
- Rusch, D.B., Halpern, A.L., Sutton, G., Heidelberg, K.B., Williamson, S., Yooseph, S., *et al.* (2007) The sorcerer II Global Ocean sampling expedition: Northwest Atlantic through eastern tropical Pacific. *PLoS Biol* **5**: e77.
- Schäfer, H., Myronova, N., and Boden, R. (2010) Microbial degradation of dimethylsulphide and related C1-Sulphur compounds: organisms and pathways controlling fluxes of Sulphur in the biosphere. *J Exp Bot* **61**: 315–334.
- Schnicker, N.J., De Silva, S.M., Todd, J.D., and Dey, M. (2017) Structural and biochemical insights into dimethylsulfoniopropionate cleavage by cofactor-bound DddK from the prolific marine bacterium *Pelagibacter*. *Biochemistry* **56**: 2873–2885.
- Schubert, H.L., Blumenthal, R.M., and Cheng, X. (2003) Many paths to methyltransfer: a chronicle of convergence. *Trends Biochem Sci* **28**: 329–335.
- Schuller, D.J., Reisch, C.R., Moran, M.A., Whitman, W.B., and Lanzilotta, W.N. (2012) Structures of dimethylsulfoniopropionate-dependent demethylase from the marine organism *Pelagabacter ubique*. *Protein Sci* **21**: 289–298.
- Seyedsayamdost, M.R., Case, R.J., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2011) The Jekyll-and-Hyde chemistry of *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis. Nat Chem* **3**: 331–335.
- Seymour, J.R., Simo, R., Ahmed, T., and Stocker, R. (2010) Chemoattraction to dimethylsulfoniopropionate throughout the marine microbial food web. *Science* **329**: 342–345.
- Shao, X., Cao, H.Y., Zhao, F., Peng, M., Wang, P., Li, C.Y., al. (2019) Mechanistic insight into 3et methylmercaptopropionate metabolism and kinetical regulation of demethylation pathway in marine dimethylsulfoniopropionate-catabolizing bacteria. Mol Microbiol 111: 1057-1073.
- © 2022 Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Environmental Microbiology

- Shinzato, C., Khalturin, K., Inoue, J., Zayasu, Y., Kanda, M., Kawamitsu, M., *et al.* (2021) Eighteen coral genomes reveal the evolutionary origin of *Acropora* strategies to accommodate environmental changes. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**: 16–30.
- Simó, R. (2001) Production of atmospheric sulfur by oceanic plankton: biogeochemical, ecological and evolutionary links. *Trends Ecol Evol* **16**: 287–294.
- Simó, R., Archer, S.D., Pedrós-Alió, C., Gilpin, L., and Stelfox-Widdicombe, C.E. (2002) Coupled dynamics of dimethylsulfoniopropionate and dimethylsulfide cycling and the microbial food web in surface waters of the North Atlantic. *Limnol Oceanogr* **47**: 53–61.
- Song, D., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Zhong, H., Zheng, Y., Zhou, S., et al. (2020) Metagenomic insights into the cycling of dimethylsulfoniopropionate and related molecules in the eastern China marginal seas. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 157.
- Speeckaert, G., Borges, A.V., Champenois, W., Royer, C., and Gypens, N. (2018) Annual cycle of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) related to phytoplankton succession in the Southern North Sea. Sci Total Environ 622: 362–372.
- Spielmeyer, A., Gebser, B., and Pohnert, G. (2011) Investigations of the uptake of dimethylsulfoniopropionate by phytoplankton. *Chembiochem* **12**: 2276–2279.
- Spiese, C.E., Kieber, D.J., Nomura, C.T., and Kiene, R.P. (2009) Reduction of dimethylsulfoxide to dimethylsulfide by marine phytoplankton. *Limnol Oceanogr* **54**: 560–570.
- Stefels, J. (2000) Physiological aspects of the production and conversion of DMSP in marine algae and higher plants. J Sea Res 43: 183–197.
- Stefels, J., Steinke, M., Turner, S., Malin, G., and Belviso, S. (2007) Environmental constraints on the production and removal of the climatically active gas dimethylsulphide (DMS) and implications for ecosystem modelling. *Biogeochemistry* 83: 245–275.
- Summers, P.S., Nolte, K.D., Cooper, A.J., Borgeas, H., Leustek, T., Rhodes, D., and Hanson, A.D. (1998) Identification and stereospecificity of the first three enzymes of 3-dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in a chlorophyte alga. *Plant Physiol* **116**: 369–378.
- Sun, H., Liu, J., Tan, S., Zheng, Y., Wang, X., Liang, J., et al. (2021) Spatiotemporal distribution of bacterial dimethylsulfoniopropionate producing and catabolic genes in the Changjiang estuary. *Environ Microbiol* 23: 7073–7092.
- Sun, H., Zhang, Y., Tan, S., Zheng, Y., Zhou, S., Ma, Q.-Y., et al. (2020) DMSP-producing bacteria are more abundant in the surface microlayer than subsurface seawater of the East China Sea. *Microb Ecol* **80**: 350–365.
- Sun, J., Todd, J.D., Thrash, J.C., Qian, Y., Qian, M.C., Temperton, B., et al. (2016) The abundant marine bacterium *Pelagibacter* simultaneously catabolizes dimethylsulfoniopropionate to the gases dimethyl sulfide and methanethiol. *Nat Microbiol* 1: 16065.
- Sun, L., Curson, A.R., Todd, J.D., and Johnston, A.W. (2012) Diversity of DMSP transport in marine bacteria, revealed by genetic analyses. *Biogeochemistry* **110**: 121–130.
- Sunda, W., Kieber, D.J., Kiene, R.P., and Huntsman, S. (2002) An antioxidant function for DMSP and DMS in marine algae. *Nature* **418**: 317–320.

- Suylen, G.M.H., Large, P.J., Van Dijken, J.P., and Kuenen, J.G. (1987) Methyl mercaptan oxidase, a key enzyme in the metabolism of methylated sulphur compounds by *Hyphomicrobium* EG. *Microbiology* **133**: 2989–2997.
- Tamaoki, H., Nishina, Y., Shiga, K., and Miura, R. (1999) Mechanism for the recognition and activation of substrate in medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase. *J Biochem* **125**: 285–296.
- Tan, D., Crabb, W.M., Whitman, W.B., and Tong, L. (2013) Crystal structure of DmdD, a crotonase superfamily enzyme that catalyzes the hydration and hydrolysis of methylthioacryloyl-CoA. *PLoS One* 8: e63870.
- Tandon, K., Lu, C.-Y., Chiang, P.-W., Wada, N., Yang, S.-H., Chan, Y.-F., *et al.* (2020) Comparative genomics: dominant coral-bacterium *Endozoicomonas acroporae* metabolizes dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). *ISME J* 14: 1290–1303.
- Tapiolas, D.M., Raina, J.-B., Lutz, A., Willis, B.L., and Motti, C.A. (2013) Direct measurement of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in reef-building corals using quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance (qNMR) spectroscopy. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 443: 85–89.
- Teichmann, L., Kümmel, H., Warmbold, B., and Bremer, E. (2018) OpuF, a new *Bacillus* compatible solute ABC transporter with a substrate-binding protein fused to the transmembrane domain. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **84**: e01728–e01718.
- Teng, Z.-J., Qin, Q.-L., Zhang, W., Li, J., Fu, H.-H., Wang, P., *et al.* (2021) Biogeographic traits of dimethyl sulfide and dimethylsulfoniopropionate cycling in polar oceans. *Microbiome* **9**: 1–17.
- Thorpe, C., and Kim, J.J. (1995) Structure and mechanism of action of the acyl-CoA dehydrogenases. *FASEB J* **9**: 718–725.
- Thume, K., Gebser, B., Chen, L., Meyer, N., Kieber, D.J., and Pohnert, G. (2018) The metabolite dimethylsulfoxonium propionate extends the marine organosulfur cycle. *Nature* **563**: 412–415.
- Todd, J.D., Curson, A.R., Dupont, C.L., Nicholson, P., and Johnston, A.W. (2009) The dddP gene, encoding a novel enzyme that converts dimethylsulfoniopropionate into dimethyl sulfide, is widespread in ocean metagenomes and marine bacteria and also occurs in some Ascomycete fungi. *Environ Microbiol* **11**: 1376–1385.
- Todd, J.D., Curson, A.R., Kirkwood, M., Sullivan, M.J., Green, R.T., and Johnston, A.W. (2011) DddQ, a novel, cupin-containing, dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase in marine roseobacters and in uncultured marine bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* **13**: 427–438.
- Todd, J.D., Curson, A.R., Nikolaidou-Katsaraidou, N., Brearley, C.A., Watmough, N.J., Chan, Y., et al. (2010) Molecular dissection of bacterial acrylate catabolism unexpected links with dimethylsulfoniopropionate catabolism and dimethyl sulfide production. *Environ Microbiol* 12: 327–343.
- Todd, J.D., Kirkwood, M., Newton-Payne, S., and Johnston, A.W. (2012) DddW, a third DMSP lyase in a model Roseobacter marine bacterium, Ruegeria pomeroyi DSS-3. *ISME J* 6: 223–226.

- Todd, J.D., Rogers, R., Li, Y.G., Wexler, M., Bond, P.L., Sun, L., *et al.* (2007) Structural and regulatory genes required to make the gas dimethyl sulfide in bacteria. *Science* **315**: 666–669.
- Tripp, H.J., Kitner, J.B., Schwalbach, M.S., Dacey, J.W., Wilhelm, L.J., and Giovannoni, S.J. (2008) SAR11 marine bacteria require exogenous reduced Sulphur for growth. *Nature* **452**: 741–744.
- Trottmann, F., Ishida, K., Franke, J., Stanišić, A., Ishida-Ito, M., Kries, H., *et al.* (2020) Sulfonium acids loaded onto an unusual thiotemplate assembly line construct the cyclopropanol warhead of a *Burkholderia* virulence factor. *Angew Chem* **132**: 13613–13617.
- Uchida, A., Ooguri, T., Ishida, T., Kitaguchi, H., and Ishida, Y. (1996) Biosynthesis of dimethylsulfoniopropionate in *Crypthecodinium cohnii* (Dinophyceae). In *Biological and Environmental Chemistry of DMSP and Related Sulfonium Compounds*. Boston, MA: Springer, pp. 97–107.
- Vairavamurthy, A., Andreae, M.O., and Iverson, R.L. (1985) Biosynthesis of dimethylsulfide and dimethylpropiothetin byHymenomonas carteraein relation to sulfur source and salinity variations. *Limnol Oceanogr* **30**: 59–70.
- Vallina, S.M., and Simó, R. (2007) Strong relationship between DMS and the solar radiation dose over the global surface ocean. *Science* **315**: 506–508.
- Van Alstyne, K., Dominique, V., and Muller-Parker, G. (2009) Is dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) produced by the symbionts or the host in an anemone–zooxanthella symbiosis? *Coral Reefs* **28**: 167–176.
- van de Water, J.A., Melkonian, R., Voolstra, C.R., Junca, H., Beraud, E., Allemand, D., and Ferrier-Pagès, C. (2017) Comparative assessment of Mediterranean gorgonianassociated microbial communities reveals conserved core and locally variant bacteria. *Microb Ecol* **73**: 466–478.
- van der Maarel, M.J.E.C., van Bergeijk, S., van Werkhoven, A.F., Laverman, A.M., Meijer, W.G., Stam, W. T., and Hansen, T.A. (1996) Cleavage of dimethylsulfoniopropionate and reduction of acrylate by *Desulfovibrio acrylicus* sp. nov. *Arch Microbiol* **166**: 109–115.
- Varaljay, V.A., Howard, E.C., Sun, S., and Moran, M.A. (2010) Deep sequencing of a dimethylsulfoniopropionatedegrading gene (dmdA) by using PCR primer pairs designed on the basis of marine metagenomic data. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 609–617.
- Vila, M., Simo, R., Kiene, R.P., Pinhassi, J., Gonzalez, J.M., Moran, M.A., and Pedros-Alio, C. (2004) Use of microautoradiography combined with fluorescence in situ hybridization to determine dimethylsulfoniopropionate incorporation by marine bacterioplankton taxa. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 4648–4657.
- Vila-Costa, M., Simó, R., Harada, H., Gasol, J.M., Slezak, D., and Kiene, R.P. (2006) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate uptake by marine phytoplankton. *Science* **314**: 652–654.
- Visscher, P.T., and Taylor, B.F. (1993) A new mechanism for the aerobic catabolism of dimethyl sulfide. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **59**: 3784–3789.
- Wang, P., Chen, X.L., Li, C.Y., Gao, X., Zhu, D.Y., Xie, B.B., et al. (2015) Structural and molecular basis for the novel catalytic mechanism and evolution of DddP, an abundant

peptidase-like bacterial Dimethylsulfoniopropionate lyase: a new enzyme from an old fold. *Mol Microbiol* **98**: 289–301.

- Wang, W., Qu, C., Wang, X., Gao, X., Zhang, H., and Miao, J. (2020) Identification of a functional dddD-Rh for dimethyl sulfide production in the Antarctic *Rhodococcus sp.* NJ- 530. *J Basic Microbiol* **60**: 639–648.
- White, B.A., Hill, R.W., and Dacey, J.W. (1995) Accumulation of dimethylsulfoniopropionate in *Geukensia demissa* depends on trophic interactions. *Biol Bull* **189**: 235–236.
- Williams, B.T., Cowles, K., Bermejo Martinez, A., Curson, A. R.J., Zheng, Y., Liu, J., *et al.* (2019) Bacteria are important dimethylsulfoniopropionate producers in coastal sediments. *Nat Microbiol* 4: 1815–1825.
- Wirth, J.S., Wang, T., Huang, Q., White, R.H., and Whitman, W.B. (2020) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate sulfur and methyl carbon assimilation in *Ruegeria* species. *MBio* **11**: e00329–e00320.
- Wolfe, G.V., and Steinke, M. (1996) Grazing-activated production of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) by two clones of *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Limnol Oceanogr* **41**: 1151–1160.
- Wolfe, G.V., Strom, S.L., Holmes, J.L., Radzio, T., and Olson, M.B. (2002) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate cleavage by marine phytoplankton in response to mechanical, chemical, or dark stress1. *J Phycol* **38**: 948–960.
- Yoch, D.C. (2002) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate: its sources, role in the marine food web, and biological degradation to dimethylsulfide. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**: 5804–5815.
- Yost, D.M., and Mitchelmore, C.L. (2009) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) lyase activity in different strains of the symbiotic alga *Symbiodinium microadriaticum*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **386**: 61–70.
- Zeng, Y. (2019) Phylogenetic diversity of dimethylsulfoniopropionatedependent demethylase gene *dmdA* in distantly related bacteria isolated from Arctic and Antarctic marine environments. *Acta Oceanol Sin* 38: 64–71.
- Zeng, Y.X., Qiao, Z.Y., Yu, Y., Li, H.R., and Luo, W. (2016) Diversity of bacterial dimethylsulfoniopropionate degradation genes in surface seawater of Arctic Kongsfjorden. *Sci Rep* 6: 33031.
- Zhang, X.-H., Liu, J., Liu, J., Yang, G., Xue, C.-X., Curson, A.R., and Todd, J.D. (2019) Biogenic production of DMSP and its degradation to DMS—their roles in the global sulfur cycle. *Sci China Life Sci* **62**: 1–24.
- Zhang, Y., Sun, K., Sun, C., Shi, X., Todd, J.D., and Zhang, X.-H. (2021) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) biosynthetic bacteria in the subseafloor sediments of South China Sea. *Front Microbiol* **12**: 731524.
- Zheng, Y., Wang, J., Zhou, S., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Xue, C.-X., et al. (2020) Bacteria are important dimethylsulfoniopropionate producers in marine aphotic and high-pressure environments. *Nat Commun* **11**: 1–12.
- Ziegler, C., Bremer, E., and Krämer, R. (2010) The BCCT family of carriers: from physiology to crystal structure. *Mol Microbiol* **78**: 13–34.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

Fig. S1. Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree of MmtN proteins. Taxonomic groups are indicated with various colours – Actinobacteria-light blue; Alphaproteobacteria-green; Deltaproteobacteria-red; Gammaproteobacteria-blue; and Unclassified bacteria-purple. The shaded colours indicates functional proteins. Bootstrap support for nodes is marked.

Fig. S2. A-Proposed DMSP biosynthesis in *Burkholderia thailandensis* B-The bur biosynthetic gene cluster in *B. thailandensis*

Fig. S3. The genomic context of DddQ, DddD, DddY, DddL, DddK and DddW (Lei *et al.*, 2018). The genes encoding orthologs are highlighted with the same colour. For (A) DddQ; (B) DddD; (C) DddY; (D) DddL; (E) DddK; and (F) DddW in different bacteria genome.

Fig. S4. Gene neighbourhoods of DddX (A) and DmdA (B) (Landa *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2021).

Fig. S5. Gene maps showing genomic locations of *dsyB* in selected *dsyB*-containing bacteria (Curson *et al.*, 2017). (A) Gene map for *Labrenzia aggregata* LZB033 and *L. aggregata* IAM12614. Predicted gene products: 1. tricarboxylate transporter; 2. AraC family transcriptional regulator; 3. nucleotide phosphate sugar epimerase;

4. hypothetical protein; 5. dehydratase; 6. MaoC-like dehydratase; 7. hypothetical protein; 8. agmatinase; 9. acetyltransferase; 10. cob(II)yrinic acid a.c-diamide reductase; 11. adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; 12. Smethyladenosine phosphorylase; 13. hypothetical protein; 14. cytochrome C1. (B) Gene map for Salipiger mucosus DSM16094 and Pelagibaca bermudensis HTCC2601. Genes encoding protein products predicted to be involved in Fe-S cluster assembly are marked. Predicted gene products: 1. cvsteine desulfurase: 2. hvpothetical protein: 3. hvpothetical protein: 4. SufD Fe-S cluster assembly protein: 5. SufC Fe-S cluster assembly ATP-binding protein; 6. SufB ironregulated ABC transporter membrane component: 7. cvsteine desulfurase; 8. BadM/Rrf2 family transcriptional regulator; 9. Transposase. (C) Gene map for Rhizobiales bacterium HL-109. Predicted gene products: 1. serine protease; 2. DNA polymerase; 3. acetyltransferase; 4. peroxiredoxin; 5. peroxiredoxin; 6. uncharacterized membrane protein; 7. hypothetical protein; 8. tyrosine phosphatase; 9. outer membrane immunogenic protein.

Fig. S6. Gene maps showing genomic locations of *mmtN* in selected *mmtN*-containing bacteria (Williams *et al.*, 2019).